

bDDDJ**DD** Building Nunavut Together Nunavuliuqatigiingniq Bâtir le Nunavut ensemble

∧ ఆర్మాంగ్ సింగా సిరి

WELCOME

アットリック

NUNAVUT AIRPORTS 20-YEAR CAPITAL NEEDS ASSESSMENT UPDATE 2020-2040



| 1 | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY1 |
|-----|--|
| 2 | BASE INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS4 |
| 2.1 | Current Asset Management Approach 4 |
| 2.2 | Airside Pavements4 |
| 2.3 | Airside Electrical9 |
| 2.5 | Buildings and Facilities 11 |
| 3 | BASE MOBILE EQUIPMENT NEEDS 19 |
| 3.1 | Fleet Age and Historical Replacement 19 |
| 3.2 | Minimum Mobile Equipment Needs 19 |
| 3.3 | Current Equipment Needs21 |
| 4 | TRANSPORT CANADA REGULATIONS 23 |
| 4.1 | Airport Certification |
| 4.2 | Airport System Funding 23 |
| 4.3 | Current Deviations |
| 4.4 | Runway End Safety Area Regulations 25 |
| 4.5 | Safety Management System Regulations 26 |
| 4.6 | Current Aerodrome Standards26 |
| 4.7 | New Aerodrome Work Consultations |
| 5 | AIRPORT RELOCATIONS |
| 5.1 | Kimmirut Airport |
| 5.2 | Pangnirtung Airport 31 |
| 6 | RUNWAY REHABILITATIONS AND |
| | EXTENSIONS |
| 6.1 | Runway Rehabilitation Requirements |
| 6.2 | Runway Extension Requirements 33 |
| 7 | ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, POLICY AND PLANNING |
| 7.1 | Economic Development 35 |

| 7.2 | Policy Development 35 |
|-----|---|
| 7.3 | Master and Development Planning 35 |
| 7.4 | Principles for the assessment of Hub Development Proposals |
| 8 | 20-YEAR CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PLAN 38 |
| 8.1 | Funding the Capital Needs 38 |
| 8.2 | 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment 41 |
| 8.3 | Under-Funded Liability 42 |
| 9 | IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE |
| 9.1 | Overview of Climate Change 43 |
| 9.2 | Vulnerable Nunavut Airport Infrastructure and Operations |
| 9.3 | Climate Change Summary and Conclusions |

Appendix A: Nunavut Airports Condition Assessments

TABLES

| Table 2.1 – Critical Stockpile Volumes |
|--|
| Table 2.2 – 2020 Gravel Stockpile Status at Nunavut Airports6 |
| Table 2.3 – 2020 Field Electrical Centre Conditions |
| Table 2.4 - 2020 Air Terminal Building Conditions 12 |
| Table 2.5 – 2020 Maintenance Equipment Shelter Conditions 14 |
| Table 2.6 – 2020 Decommissioned (Legacy) Buildings16 |
| Table 2.7 – 2020 Nunavut Airport Accessibility Ramps |
| Table 3.1 – Major Mobile Equipment Fleet Synopsis 19 |
| Table 3.2 – Minimum Mobile Equipment for Paved Runways 20 |
| Table 3.3 – Minimum Mobile Equipment for Gravel Runways21 |
| Table 3.4 – Major Mobile Equipment Requirements |
| Table 4.1 – 2019 Aerodrome Deviations 24 |
| Table 4.2 - Triggers for Runway End Safety Areas in Nunavut25 |
| Table 4.3 - Comparison of Runway Strip and Safety Areas,TP312 4th vs 5th Edition29 |
| Table 6.1 – Airport Runway Extension Candidates |
| Table 8.1 - ACAP Priority Areas 39 |
| Table 8.2 - ACAP Proportional Funding Structure 39 |

FIGURES

| Figure 2.1 - Pavement Management Financial Curve8 |
|--|
| Figure 4.1 - Runway Strip and Runway Safety Area |
| Figure 9.1 - Model Projections Mean Annual Temperature YCB.43 |
| Figure 9.2 - Mean Annual Temperature Cambridge Bay 1953- 2017 44 |
| Figure 9.3 - Permafrost Regions in Canada45 |
| Figure 9.4 - Runway 13 Approach Lighting Rankin Inlet Airport (2010)47 |



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Department of Economic Development and Transportation retained WSP Canada Group Limited (WSP) to update the Government of Nunavut's 2014 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment. This update serves two purposes:

- 1 To document the current condition of infrastructure at 24 airports owned by the Government of Nunavut, and
- 2 To establish a realistic needs assessment and capital renewal program to ensure the continued viability and safety of the airports.

The GN owns and operates 24 public airports through the Department of Economic Development and Transportation's Nunavut Airports Division, as follows:

| | Kitikmeot | | Kivalliq | | North Baffin | | South Baffin |
|----|---------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|
| 1. | Cambridge Bay | 6. | Arviat | 13. | Arctic Bay | 19. | Kinngait |
| 2. | Gjoa Haven | 7. | Baker Lake | 14. | Clyde River | 20. | Kimmirut |
| 3. | Kugaaruk | 8. | Chesterfield Inlet | 15. | Grise Fiord | 21. | Pangnirtung |
| 4. | Kugluktuk | 9. | Coral Harbour | 16. | Igloolik | 22. | Qikiqtarjuaq |
| 5. | Taloyoak | 10. | Naujaat | 17. | Pond Inlet | 23. | Sanikiluaq |
| | | 11. | Rankin Inlet | 18. | Resolute Bay | 24. | Sanirajak |
| | | 12. | Whale Cove | | | | |

Airports are key pieces of transportation infrastructure for their respective communities, supporting intercommunity passenger flights, the movement of freight, air ambulances, and other critical functions. Since there are no road connections to Nunavut communities and sealift re-supply is only possible in the summer and early autumn, airports provide the only dependable year-round transportation option.

Airports require ongoing maintenance and routine capital investment to maintain their operational capabilities and meet regulatory safety and certification standards. Airports in Nunavut face unique additional challenges due to their remote locations and challenging climate; these challenges are exacerbated by the changing climate in the north. By investing proactively in ongoing infrastructure maintenance, the GN can prolong asset lifecycles and limit prohibitively expensive rehabilitation and replacement projects. Given the scale of the Nunavut Airports portfolio (24 airports), capital planning is a fiscal best practice given the system-wide costs associated with widespread rehabilitation projects. Further, capital investments will be required to meet the needs of Nunavummiut and to facilitate the social and economic functions of the 24 airports.

The first objective of the 20 Year Capital Needs Assessment update for 2020-2040 is to gather information on the base infrastructure and mobile equipment needs for each of the airports. Input from Nunavut Airport s taff established a benchmark for the requirements of the maintenance and operations at each of the 24 airports. Priorities were determined by focusing on the airside pavements, airside electrical assets, airport buildings and other structures, facilities as well as the mobile equipment servicing each airport. Many of the airports require immediate rehabilitation investments to support safe operations.

The option of establishing additional hub airports in Nunavut is also examined. By definition, a hub airport is an airport at which passengers and/or cargo arrive from one region and then can be distributed to other intra- and inter- regional airports. Factors considered in the assessment of a potential hub range from observed or projected increases in demand, the need for emergency services and geographical



advantages to the community's ability to support the hub. The needs assessment provides a general guideline for evaluating potential new hub airport candidates.

The 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment presents an overview of relevant Transport Canada regulations and their impacts on Nunavut airports. Transport Canada Civil Aviation has adopted or is in the process of adopting several significant changes to regulations which may have financial impacts related to capital expenditures at Nunavut Airports. All twenty-four (24) airports handle scheduled passenger service and must be certified by Transport Canada. Most Nunavut airports currently operate under TP 312 4th Edition certification, however any new construction or major update to the airport infrastructure will trigger the need for 5th Edition certification. Transport Canada has indicated that routine maintenance activities such as runway grading, crack sealing or repairs to existing electrical assets will not trigger this requirement. It is anticipated that the greatest costs associated with Nunavut's potential compliance with TP 312 5th Edition may stem from the updated and expanded requirements for larger protective areas surrounding the runway surface.

In the 2014 Capital Needs Assessment, two (2) of the Nunavut Airports were identified as candidates for relocation: Kimmirut and Pangnirtung. Kimmirut is among the most physically challenged certified airports. It faces a number of violations dealing with the take-off/approach surfaces, runway slopes, OLS, and inadequate runway graded area and runway strip. Pangnirtung has a number of safety-related concerns including poor weather, adjacent mountainous terrain impacting navigation aids, and location concerns.

Another significant capital consideration involves runway rehabilitation and extension requirements at Nunavut airports. Rehabilitation projects are required at airports with poor runway surface conditions, while runway extensions may be required to address payload or aircraft restrictions resulting from insufficient take-off and landing distances. Clyde River, Kinngait, Kugluktuk and Pangnirtung. Currently eight (8) airports in Nunavut have payload restrictions in place for incoming and outgoing flights due to current runway lengths.

Future economic development in Nunavut is reliant on a safe, effective, and reliable air transportation system. Airports facilitate the transportation of business and government travelers for a wide range of purposes, leisure travelers, and transportation in support of fisheries, mineral exploration or mining. Nunavut's air transportation system is essential to the growth and diversification of the territorial economy. The GN aims to implement appropriate policies to ensure that the Nunavut Airports system can support current and future economic development.

It is recommended that the GN consider adopting a policy for the preparation of Master Plans for the Nunavut Airports portfolio. This policy would include requirements for the planning process, stakeholder consultation standards and prescribe mandatory sections. For more critical airports such as hubs and larger communities, or where a large or complex capital project is planned, a triggered approach for preparing or updating Master Plans would yield the greatest positive impact on the development of the airport.

The impacts of climate change on the Nunavut Airports System range from impacts of thawing permafrost on operating surfaces and buildings to impacts on declared distances, ceilings, and visibility. Climate change is well documented and can be expected to have significant impacts on the Nunavut Airports portfolio; it is recommended that the GN forecast these impacts and consider strategies for adaptation.

Capital projects that have been identified in the Nunavut Airports 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment Update 2020-2040 are expected to be funded through two principal sources:

- 1. Government of Nunavut; and
- 2. Government of Canada.

Capital projects are approved by the territorial government through the annual Capital Estimates or through Supplementary Appropriations if more funds are required. When funding from the Government of Canada or alternative sources cannot be secured, the GN continues to be responsible for the capital projects of the Nunavut Airports. Challenges exist in funding Nunavut Airports capital projects without external assistance.

In recent years the department has administered \$4 million annually in predictable, discretionary capital funding. Funds are for mobile equipment purchases, lifecycle replacement expenditures (e.g., fuel tank



replacements), facility rehabilitation, engineering studies, and various small capital projects consisting of airside accessibility ramp installations, demolitions and minor stockpile production.

Nunavut Airports could generate additional revenue from leasing, fees and other user sources, which could be directly or indirectly returned to the system. Current annual revenues are approximately \$1.3 million, but could be increased significantly across the system without exceeding national norms for such airport charges.

Federal funding sources for capital infrastructure projects applicable to Nunavut Airports are as follows:

- 1. Airports Capital Assistance Program (Transport Canada);
- 2. National Trade Corridors Fund (Transport Canada); and
- 3. Various proposal-based, special purpose programs (e.g., Infrastructure Canada's Disaster Mitigation and Adaption Fund).

The Airports Capital Assistance Program (ACAP) was created in 1995 as a complement to the National Airports Policy, which was the basis for the transfer of airports to the territorial government. ACAP has an annual budget of \$39 million, allocated to approximately 200 eligible airports across Canada. Despite this limited national budget, ACAP is integral to the financial sustainability of the Nunavut Airports system. The Government of Nunavut's position - consistent with the 2015 *Canada Transportation Act* Review, Pathways: Connecting Canada's Transportation System to the World – is that Transport Canada should develop a Northern ACAP that would be predictable and more responsive to northern realities. The need for the Northern ACAP was also echoed in the spring 2017 Report of the Auditor General of Canada to Parliament's chapter titled Civil Aviation Infrastructure in the North.

Public-Private Partnerships, as successfully implemented at the Iqaluit International Airport, could be a financing option for major airport projects, as could financing arrangements enabled by the Canada Infrastructure Bank.

Capital Needs

The Nunavut Airports Regional Transportation Programs Managers provided input into the 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment, which details the needs of each airport and the anticipated expenditures for capital projects over the next 20-year period, from 2020 to 2040. The projects range in scope from minor rehabilitation of existing facilities to complete airport relocations.

Approximately 370 needs have been identified over the 20-year period, which translates into an average of 18 capital projects per year. The total capital cost of the 20-year program is \$744 million or approximately \$37.2 million per year. These figures include the costs of three major projects: the relocation of Kimmirut Airport, the relocation of Pangnirtung Airport, and the rehabilitation of the Rankin Inlet Air Terminal Building including public airport access and parking lot works (federal and Government of Nunavut funds have been secured for the Rankin Inlet project). Without these three projects, the total capital cost would be reduced from \$744 million to \$416 million, or \$21 million per year.

The annual capital budget for Nunavut Airports for the period of 2011 to 2018 was in the range of \$3.7 million to \$10.4 million. The capital budget for 2019 was \$6 million, which is below the annual average of \$7 million from 2011 to 2018. With a historic average annual capital budget of \$7 million and excluding major new projects, the Nunavut Airports budget is underfunded by approximately \$30.2 million versus the average annual requirement of \$37.2 million per year for 2020-2040.

Some airports have not had any significant capital work undertaken since the completion of the 2014 Capital Needs Assessment. The Nunavut Airports 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment Update 2020-2040 recommends a substantial increase in capital expenditures in the early years to address the backlog and return airport infrastructure to a cost-efficient lifecycle pattern.



1 BASE INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS

This section establishes the baseline requirements for the maintenance of existing airport infrastructure including buildings, airfield electrical and movement surfaces. A summary of the condition assessments of the 24 airports is also attached in this study.

1.1 CURRENT ASSET MANAGEMENT APPROACH

The Transportation Programs Regional Managers provided input into the current condition of each of the 24 airports. The input is documented in the Airport Operations Inspection Report. The Report is based on field inspections that are to be performed and recorded semi-annually for each airport. The Airport Operations Inspections Report covers multiple aspects of the airport infrastructure and operations that includes, but is not limited to:

- \rightarrow Airside pavements;
- \rightarrow Groundside pavements;

- → Air Terminal Buildings and Maintenance Buildings; and
- → Field Electric Centres and Airfield Lighting Systems;
- → Mobile equipment

The Transportation Programs Regional Managers provided the information to establish a benchmark for the existing conditions at each airport, included in Appendix A. This information was used to identify the needs at each of the airports over the next 20-year period. Where current information was not available, information was carried forward from the 2014 Capital Needs Assessment. Additional inputs were provided by airport and airline staff to assist in determining the current needs of each facility. Many of the airports require immediate rehabilitation projects to maintain safe operations. Based on input provided by Nunavut Airports staff, the following sections identify the major five-year needs of the airports.

1.2 AIRSIDE PAVEMENTS

Gravel runways are typical of airports in Nunavut. Transport Canada defines a gravel runway as "A type of runway with an unpaved surface constructed from a pavement with an unbound granular surface composed of sand, clay, crushed stone or other soil materials." Nunavut airports' gravel runways are primarily made up of crushed stone, with an on-site stockpile of crushed aggregate maintained for regular airfield and runway maintenance.

The surface conditions of gravel runways are subject to many variables that include the local climate, aircraft operations, and maintenance practices. These variables may impact the quality of the surface resulting in a variety of defects over time. The most common defects that occur with gravel surfaces are ruts, frost heaves, depressions, potholes, soft spots, and loss of aggregates. Periodic grading, compaction, and the addition of new material is required to maintain the integrity of the gravel surface, proper drainage, and ensure safe aircraft operations.

Extremely cold weather is also a contributing factor to the surface conditions of gravel runways. Transport Canada Advisory Circular (AC) No. 700-011 explains that when gravel runways are exposed to approximately two weeks of ambient temperatures below -20°C, the strength characteristics of the runway are similar to that of a paved runway. This condition will prevail until ambient temperatures increase to above freezing. These surfaces have improved strength characteristics due to being frozen for significant portions of the year, but it remains necessary to ensure that the runway meets all other condition requirements, including smoothness and braking performance.



1.2.1 MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR OF GRAVEL SURFACES

The majority of the aircraft movement surfaces at the Nunavut Airports consist of gravel pavement surfaces. As identified in Section 8.0 of Transport Canada AC No. 300-004, gravel pavement surface maintenance primarily involves periodic grading to remove surface irregularities and to re-establish grades for drainage purposes. Occasionally, new gravel must be added to replace lost material. Although the overall (lifecycle) cost is significantly lower, a gravel runway surface requires significantly more frequent routine maintenance when compared to a flexible or rigid pavement (i.e., asphalt or concrete). Regular maintenance, such as grading and compaction, is necessary to maintain proper airfield conditions and must be done regularly as described in Transport Canada AC No. 300-004. Annual maintenance includes reshaping runway transverse slopes and repairing depressions, traverse cracks, and culverts. The gravel surface material is generally lost due to grading operations and the erosion effects of aircraft operations and weather. The depth of the surfacing material may be contaminated by the subgrade soil.

Due to the existing conditions and geographic locations at most Nunavut community airports, gravel replacement is required to maintain adequate airfield conditions during the summer months. Repair materials should be aggregate consisting of clean, hard and durable particles of crushed or uncrushed gravel, stone, or slag. The aggregate should also be free from soft, thin, elongated, or laminated particles, and organic or other deleterious substances.

The availability of aggregate supply in communities across Nunavut communities is inconsistent. Challenges in this regard include:

- \rightarrow depletion of existing quarries and identification of new quarries;
- \rightarrow permitting and up-to-date quarrying agreements;
- \rightarrow availability of appropriate crushing equipment; and
- \rightarrow absence of adequate access road to the quarry.

These factors can make small aggregate production projects inefficient or even impossible, and can make larger supply projects complex and risky.

Based on similar community airports in the northern territories, the maintenance gravel requirement for all airfield surfaces at each site is approximated at 250 m³ per year as identified by Airport staff for planning purposes. A 100mm overlay is recommended to re-establish airfield surface strength every seven (7) to eight (8) years. The frequency of overlays is dependent on surface shear strength measurement results, which are expressed as California Bearing Ratio (CBR). CBR is the ratio of the load bearing capacity of a given sample of soil to that of crushed limestone. Per AC No. 300-004, the minimum recommended frequency of CBR testing is every three (3) years. The CBR testing is budgeted outside of the capital program but is an input to capital planning.

Each airport should maintain a Critical Stockpile Volume (CSV), which is the amount of gravel required for a 5-year maintenance period and a 100mm lift of gravel for all airfield surfaces, including full width and length of the runway, taxiway, apron, and graded areas. For planning purposes, a CSV of 5,250 m³ is required for each airport, as shown in Table 2.1.

| Table 2.1 – Critical Stockpile Volumes | Table 2.1 - | Critical | Stockpile | Volumes |
|--|-------------|----------|-----------|---------|
|--|-------------|----------|-----------|---------|

| GRAVEL MAINTENANCE & REPAIR TYPE | VOLUME |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 5-Year Maintenance (5 x 250 m³) | 1,250 m ³ |
| 100 mm Airfield Overlay | 4,000 m ³ |
| Total Critical Stockpile Volume | 5,250 m ³ |

Table 2.2 identifies the current gravel stockpile quantities and status at each airport, where available, as reported by Nunavut Airports Regional Managers. Unless associated with pavement rehabilitation projects identified in the capital plan, the replenishment of stockpiles is considered a consumable expense and should be planned in operating budgets.



| REGION | AIRPORT | STOCKPILE / STATUS |
|--------------|-----------------------|--|
| South | Kinngait | No estimate of quantity |
| ваттіп | Kimmirut | 500 m ³ produced in 2020 (High Priority) |
| | Pangnirtung | No stockpile – ACAP proposal being prepared (High Priority) |
| | Qikiqtarjuaq | Stockpile is sufficient for one (1) more major overlay |
| | Sanikiluaq | Stockpile low – crushing took place in 2020, interrupted by storm but will continue in 2021 |
| North Baffin | Arctic Bay | Stockpile owned by contractor |
| | Clyde River | Major runway rehabilitation completed in 2020, including stockpile production of 1600 cubic metres. |
| | Grise Fiord | 6,000 m ³ – Major overlay and repairs scheduled (High Priority) |
| | Sanirajak | Major runway rehabilitation underway in 2020 and to be completed in 2021, including stockpile production. |
| | Igloolik | No stockpile – Forecast to be replenished (High Priority) |
| | Pond Inlet | No stockpile – Stockpile needs to be replenished |
| | Resolute Bay | No stockpile – Stockpile needs to be replenished for minor overlay |
| Kivalliq | Arviat | 4,000 m ³ – Stockpile is adequate for current needs |
| | Baker Lake | 100 m ³ – Stockpile needs to be replenished |
| | Chesterfield Inlet | 2,000 m ³ – Stockpile needs to be replenished for minor overlay |
| | Coral Harbour | 2,000 m ³ – Minor overlay on runway required |
| | Rankin Inlet | Stockpile replenished in 2020 |
| | Naujaat | Stockpile adequate for current needs |
| | Whale Cove | No stockpile – New stockpile and major overlay in planning stage (High priority) |
| Kitikmeot | Cambridge Bay | Major runway rehabilitation nearing completion including stockpile production |
| | Gjoa Haven | No stockpile – Stockpile needs to be replenished for major overlay (High Priority) |
| | Kugaaruk | 2,000 m ³ – Adequate for current needs, minor runway overlay (High Priority) |
| | Kugluktuk | Gravel is provided from the Hamlet stockpile; major runway overlay in planning stage (High Priority). ACAP funding in place. |
| | Taloyoak | 2,000 m ³ – Adequate for current needs, minor runway overlay (High Priority) |

 Table 2.2 – 2020 Gravel Stockpile Status at Nunavut Airports



1.2.1.1 DUST CONTROL (DUST SUPPRESSANTS)

As part of the gravel runway pavement surface maintenance program, it is recommended that a dust control suppressant (e.g., EK-35) be included in the process. There are many benefits to a product like EK-35, these consist primarily of:

- \rightarrow increased surface strength (more similar to asphalt) -
- \rightarrow decreased maintenance grading requirements by approximately 50%
- \rightarrow resilience in extreme temperatures as those found at the Nunavut Airports and
- \rightarrow is also ecofriendly being biodegradable and non-corrosive to aircraft and equipment.

The initial application is to be included as part of each major or minor overlay project; this application process consists of a 100% product application made up of 4 to 5 light passes on the gravel surface over a 2 to 3-day period. Once the initial application is in place, annual or bi-annual maintenance is recommended by the current supplier at a rate of 50% product of the normal initial application.

The recommended product application rates are at follows:

- \rightarrow 1 litre of product for 1 square metre of gravel surface during a 100% application.
- \rightarrow 1/2 litre of product for 1 square metre of gravel surface during a 50% application.

The maintenance requirements are different at each airport. Many factors need to be considered in determining the maintenance needs and application rate of a binding dust suppressant for each airport, including the following:

- \rightarrow Frequency of runway use (traffic);
- → Type of aircraft which use the runway (wake turbulence impact gravel runway and are factors of aircraft weight and engines (jet or propeller driven);
- → Runway surface snowpack during winter;
- \rightarrow Seasonal moisture condition and runoff; and
- \rightarrow Frequency of runway grading program.

Looking at these and other factors will help in determining the frequency that dust control applications will need to take place. It will also allow the airport operator to determine their annual maintenance application rate of approximately 50% of the recommended application rate and if it can be further reduced to 25 or 30% on airports that are not heavily used.

Regardless, including a dust suppressant in the overlay process will aid in maintaining the surface conditions on the airfield.

1.2.2 MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR OF ASPHALT PAVED SURFACES

Rankin Inlet is the sole facility in the Nunavut Airports portfolio with asphalt paved surfaces. The asphalt runways, aprons and taxiways are maintained on an annual basis with Operations & Maintenance (O&M) funds. The O&M work typically includes crack sealing, the removal of weed growth and maintaining lateral support by shoulder maintenance. Crack sealing significantly extends the life of asphalt surfaces, if completed on an annual basis.

After a certain number of crack sealing cycles, the asphalt pavement requires an overlay to refurbish it. Typically, the life cycle of an asphalt runway pavement surface ranges from 10 to 15 years between overlays, depending on numerous conditions and usage factors. The impacts of a changing climate may shorten the interval between overlays and full-depth reconstruction projects (Section 8).



1.2.2.1 RANKIN INLET

The last asphalt overlay at Rankin Inlet Airport was completed in 2014. **The GN retained EXP Services Inc (EXP) in 2019 for an assessment of the distress manifestations on the Rankin Inlet Airport airside pavements.** Despite the formation of several transverse cracks, the pavement is in average to good condition. A major crack repair project to address large transverse cracks was initiated in 2020 and will conclude in 2021. A full asphalt overlay for the main runway has been included in the 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment.

1.2.3 AIRPORT PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Standard 9.1.1.1. of TP312 5th Edition provides the direction that a maintenance program be established for airside surfaces. There are several options to demonstrate compliance with Standard 9.1.1.1, one of which is the implementation of an Airport Pavement Management System (APMS). Transport Canada defines an APMS in AC No. 302-016 as a set of "…procedures for collecting, analyzing, maintaining, and reporting pavement data to assist airport operators in finding optimum strategies for maintaining pavements in a serviceable condition for the least cost." APMS can be implemented for both paved and gravel airfield surfaces.

Generally, it is less costly to maintain airside surfaces in good condition versus allowing continued deterioration to the point where a full rehabilitation is required. Airside surfaces generally deteriorate in a gradual, linear manner until a critical point, after which deterioration accelerates and rehabilitation becomes required. This concept is illustrated in Figure 2.1. Therefore, the ideal time to complete preventive maintenance is prior to the point of accelerated degradation. This critical point cannot be generalized across all airports and depends on a range of contextual factors, including the quality of the original surface construction and the frequency of aircraft movements.

An APMS has several benefits for airport operators. First, an APMS assists with the tracking of airfield surfaces to make informed decisions on preventive maintenance and rehabilitation. An APMS can be a tool for improved fiscal responsibility by more accurately timing maintenance efforts and decreasing the need for major rehabilitation projects. Performing regular, less intrusive maintenance works can limit the large-scale disruptions to airport operations that are typical of full rehabilitation projects. Finally, ongoing inspections and condition tracking can be used for life cycle analyses and to determine the success of maintenance and rehabilitation projects.





Source: U.S. Federal Aviation Administration



An APMS includes three (3) primary elements: a technical inventory of all airfield surfaces, a pavement structural condition survey, and a pavement management plan. These elements are summarized as follows:

- **1 Technical Inventory:** Serves as a comprehensive overview of the airside surfaces to be maintained and includes a site plan, pavement dimensions, and information on surface strength, construction history, and other relevant data.
- 2 Structural Condition Survey: A visual inspection completed on a regular basis to identify defects with an associated survey report that makes recommendations for maintenance works. Comprehensive structural condition surveys should be completed at least once per year, with supplementary inspections on a monthly basis to identify significant changes.
- 3 Pavement Management Plan: This document should apply to all surfaces identified in the technical inventory and be informed and updated based on the findings of the structural condition survey. At a minimum, this plan should include the maintenance projects to be completed, their timing, and project costs to inform the annual GN capital budget.

Specialized software is available to support the development of an APMS for the Nunavut Airports portfolio, such as the Federal Aviation Administration's PAVEAIR platform and the Deighton Pavement Management System used by the Government of Northwest Territories. The implementation of an APMS was a recommendation of the 2014 Capital Needs Assessment. This recommendation is carried forward in the 2020 update, as the GN has not procured or implemented such a system. A standard APMS, including consistent reporting, inspection, and maintenance planning methodologies, should be implemented for each GN airport.

1.3 AIRSIDE ELECTRICAL

1.3.1 FIELD ELECTRICAL CENTRES

Field Electrical Centres (FECs) control the power for all electrical components of an airport including airfield lighting, guidance signs, navigation aids, and other facilities. FECs are usually a small standalone building or portable prefabricated structure, and at times they may be in a maintenance building or combined services facility. Table 2.3 identifies the existing conditions of the FECs for the Nunavut Airports portfolio.

Multiple airports have FECs that are at the end of their life cycle or will be within the next five years. These facilities need major upgrades or replacement to serve the airport in an efficient manner. Some airports are operating their FEC out of a combined facility with inadequate space and require a dedicated building to house the electrical infrastructure. The airports in need of major FEC upgrades or replacement are:

- → Grise Fiord
- → Sanirajak
- → Qikiqtarjuaq
- → Sanikiluaq

- → Kugaaruk
- → Kugluktuk
- → Cambridge Bay
- → Kimmirut

In addition to the major FEC work required for the airports listed above, multiple airports require a back-up generator to provide electrical redundancy in the event of power outages. Kugluktuk Airport will receive a new ATB along with Chesterfield Inlet, Naujaat, Whale Cove and Kimmirut all will include back-up generators. These airports are:

- → Sanirajak
- → Gjoa Haven
- → Chesterfield Inlet
- → Whale Cove

- → Naujaat
- → Kimmirut
- → Kugaaruk
- → Kugluktuk

Design work is underway for Sanirajak, including a new FEC with a back-up generator and a full airfield lighting system upgrade. This work is expected to be completed by 2021-2022.



| Field Electrical Centre | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed | Current Condition | Priority | General Comments | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| SOUTH BAFFIN | | | | | | | |
| Kinngait | 1996 | Good | Low | Estimated 4 years of use remaining | | | |
| Kimmirut | 1976 | Poor | High | Decommission when new airport constructed | | | |
| Pangnirtung | 1997 | Good | Low | Adequate until new airport is constructed | | | |
| Qikiqtarjuaq | 1997 | Poor | High | Replace the FEC and relocate it away from the shoreline | | | |
| Sanikiluaq | 1997 | Average | Medium | Replace the FEC | | | |
| NORTH BA | FFIN | | | | | | |
| Arctic Bay | 2011 | Good | Low | Replace at end of life (25 years) | | | |
| Clyde River | 1998 | Unknown | Medium | Replace at end of life (25 years) | | | |
| Grise Fiord | 1983 | Very Poor | High | Requires replacement | | | |
| Sanirajak | 1982 | Poor | Medium | Design of a new FEC and back-up generator (included with airfield lighting upgrade) is in progress | | | |
| Igloolik | 2003 | Good | Medium | Estimated 7 years of use remaining | | | |
| Pond Inlet | 1998 | Unknown | Medium | Estimated 3-4 years of use remaining | | | |
| Resolute Bay | 1998 | Unknown | Low | Estimated 11 years of use remaining | | | |
| KIVALLIQ | | | | | | | |
| Arviat | Unknown | Good | Low | Replace at end of life (25 years) | | | |
| Baker Lake | 2013 | Good | Low | Replace at end of life (25 years) | | | |
| Chesterfield Inlet | 2013 | Good | Low | Rehabilitated in 2013 using ACAP funds | | | |
| Coral Harbour | 2006 | Good | Low | Rehabilitation to FEC and electrical required | | | |
| Rankin Inlet | 2015 | Good | Low | FEC upgraded in 2015 | | | |
| Naujaat | 2012 | Unknown | High | Estimated 2-3 years remaining. No updated provided. | | | |
| Whale Cove | 2000 | Unknown | High | Replace the FEC | | | |
| КІТІКМЕОТ | | | | | | | |
| Cambridge Bay | 1993 | Poor | High | Currently in poor shape. A number of repairs and replacements required. | | | |
| Gjoa Haven | 1999 | Good | High | Back-up generator is required but has not been installed; originally scheduled for 2015 | | | |
| Kugaaruk | 1997 | Poor | High | FEC replacement and new back-up generator required | | | |

Table 2.3 – 2020 Field Electrical Centre Conditions

| Kugluktuk | 1997 | Poor | High | FEC replacement and new back-up generator required |
|-----------|------|------|------|---|
| Taloyoak | 2013 | Good | Low | FEC was replaced in 2013. Replace at end of life (25 years) |

1.3.2 AIRFIELD LIGHTING SYSTEMS

Airfield lighting systems provide improved visibility for aircraft and vehicles during low visibility and nighttime operations. Lighting is used to identify runways, taxiways, and aprons. It also provides pilots with visual information and guidance for take-off, landing, and taxiing on the airport maneuvering surfaces.

Airfield lighting systems consist of multiple components, including:

Approach Lighting Systems;

→ Runway Guard Lights;

- → Runway End Lights;
- Runway Threshold Lights; \rightarrow
- Runway Edge Lights; \rightarrow

- \rightarrow Precision Approach Path Indicators (PAPIs);
- → Taxiway Edge Lights; and
- → Apron Flood Lighting.

Many of the airfield lighting systems at Nunavut airports have been rehabilitated through the Airports Capital Assistance Program (ACAP). The construction is currently underway for a full airfield lighting upgrade at Sanirajak Airport, including a new FEC and back-up generator. The airfield lighting systems at seven Nunavut airports are in very poor condition and need immediate upgrades. These airports are:

- Grise Fiord
- **Resolute Bay** \rightarrow
- Gjoa Haven \rightarrow
- Qikiqtarjuaq \rightarrow

- Kugluktuk
- Sanikiluag

→ Kuqaaruk

2.5 **BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES**

2.5.1 AIR TERMINAL BUILDINGS

Air Terminal Buildings (ATBs) are an essential element of the passenger experience. ATBs function as an interface between groundside and airside operations and are used for the processing of passengers and baggage. ATBs should be as accessible as practicable to passengers with all levels of mobility. Airports served by scheduled air services must have functional and reliable ATBs. Many of the ATBs in the Nunavut Airports portfolio require refurbishment or replacement. The existing conditions of the ATBs are summarized in Table 2.4. and should be considered a high-level overview. Many of the ATB facilities should be evaluated in greater detail.

Five airports require the replacement of their ATBs as a result of mechanical and electrical system failures, inadequate space, and failing structural components. Taloyoak Airport began operation of its new ATB in 2018. The Taloyoak ATB will be the model for new ATBs at other Nunavut airports. The airports that have been identified for a new ATB in the 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment are as follows:

- Whale Cove \rightarrow
- Kimmirut \rightarrow
- \rightarrow Chesterfield Inlet

Several ATBs require minor upgrades, with the majority of the improvements including: interior and exterior painting, new flooring, airside accessibility ramps, and new doors. Five (5) airports require major upgrades to their existing ATBs to address failing building systems and structural concerns:

- Baker Lake \rightarrow
- Kugaaruk \rightarrow
- Arviat

- → Gjoa Haven
- → Kinngait

- Naujaat
- Kugluktuk



| Air Terminal Building | Floor Area | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed | Current Condition | Priority | General Comments | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| SOUTH BAFFIN | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kinngait | 316 m ² | 1995 | Poor | Medium | Minor rehabilitation required – flooring / paint | | | | | |
| | | | | | Major refurbishment required including fuel tank replacement. | | | | | |
| Kimmirut | Unknown | 1976 | Poor | High | Construct New ATB | | | | | |
| | | | | | New airport | | | | | |
| Pangnirtung | Unknown | 1994 | Good | Medium | Minor rehabilitation required – flooring / paint | | | | | |
| Qikiqtarjuaq | Unknown | 2013 | Good | Low | Minor rehabilitation required – flooring / paint Airside accessibility ramp required. | | | | | |
| Sanikiluaq | 206 m ² | Unknown | Unknown | High | Minor rehabilitation of ATB not completed in 2015. Front porch required as strong south winds open doors. Airside accessibility ramp completed in 2020. | | | | | |
| | | | NORTI | H BAFFIN | | | | | | |
| Arctic Bay | Unknown | Unknown | Good | Low | Replacement required outside of the 20-year timeline | | | | | |
| Clyde River | 206 m ² | Unknown | Unknown | High | Airside accessibility ramp required. Fuel tank replacement required. | | | | | |
| Grise Fiord | 146 m ² | 2018 | Poor | High | Windows replaced in 2016/2017, interior painted in 2018. Exterior is scheduled to be painted | | | | | |
| | | | | | Airside accessibility ramp required. Fuel tank replacement required. | | | | | |
| Sanirajak | Unknown | 1982 | Poor | Medium | Minor exterior rehabilitation required. | | | | | |
| | | | | | Airside accessibility ramp required. Fuel tank replacement recently completed. | | | | | |
| lgloolik | Unknown | Unknown | Poor | High | Rehabilitation required, including airside accessibility ramp. | | | | | |
| Pond Inlet | Unknown | 2007 | Good | Low | Minor rehabilitation required including an airside accessibility ramp | | | | | |
| Resolute Bay | 648 m ² | 1998 | Good | Low | Replacement required outside of the 20-year timeline | | | | | |
| KIVALLIQ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arviat | 309 m ² | 1993 | Poor | Medium | Foundation shoring project is currently underway, high priority. Rehabilitation required: internal and external painting, fuel tank replacement required. Airside accessibility ramp completed in 2019. | | | | | |
| Baker Lake | 534 m ² | 1986 | Poor | High | Major rehabilitation required. Fuel tank replacement in planning. | | | | | |
| Chesterfield Inlet | Unknown | 1984 | Poor | High | New ATB in progress. Existing ATB is at the end of its life cycle and does not meet the existing | | | | | |

Table 2.4 - 2020 Air Terminal Building Conditions



| | | | | | needs of the community or future economic growth. | | |
|------------------|---------|-------------|-----------|------|--|--|--|
| Coral | Unknown | 2007 | Unknown | High | Airside accessibility ramp required. | | |
| Harbour | | | | | Replacement required outside 20-year timeline. | | |
| Rankin Inlet | | 1995 | Poor | High | Major ATB expansion project budgeted/funded and design is currently underway. Upgrades to groundside flood lighting required | | |
| Naujaat | Unknown | Unknown | Poor | High | New ATB in process | | |
| Whale Cove | | In progress | Very Poor | High | New ATB in progress | | |
| кітікмеот | | | | | | | |
| Cambridge Bay | Unknown | 2019 | Good | Low | ATB renovations and expansion completed in 2019 | | |
| Gjoa Haven | Unknown | 2009 | Poor | High | Upgrades to the mechanical and electrical systems are required to address heating concerns. | | |
| | | | | | Airside accessibility ramp required. | | |
| Kugaaruk | Unknown | 1976 | Poor | High | Upgrades and repairs are required for the walls, mechanical, and electrical systems. | | |
| | | | | | Airside accessibility ramp required. | | |
| Kugluktuk | Unknown | N/A | Poor | High | ATB replacement in progress. | | |
| Taloyoak | Unknown | 2018 | Good | Low | New ATB was completed in 2018 | | |



2.5.2 MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SHELTERS

These buildings provide the shelter, service areas, and storage required to maintain airport mobile equipment. Without proper shelter, equipment stored outside is exposed to extreme weather conditions which will shorten the life cycle of the equipment. Very limited information is available on the existing conditions of the maintenance buildings at the Nunavut airports. The condition of the buildings and projected replacement dates in the Capital Needs Assessment are generally based on recommendations from Nunavut Airports staff, as summarized in Table 2.5.

The following airports are either in need of a new maintenance building or equipment shelter, or the replacement or expansion of their existing facility:

| gaaruk – 3 Bay Shelter |
|--------------------------|
| gluktuk – 3 Bay Shelter |
| nd Inlet – 3 Bay Shelter |
| loyoak – 3 Bay Shelter |
| |

Several other airports also require minor upgrades or repairs to their maintenance buildings and equipment shelters to restore the facility to an acceptable condition, including concrete flooring in the facilities. The identified upgrades and repairs could be completed as part of ongoing maintenance operations at these airports.

Table 2.5 – 2020 Maintenance Equipment Shelter Conditions

| Maintenance Building | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed | Current Condition | Priority | General Comments | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|----------------------|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | SOUTH BAFFIN | | | | | | | |
| Kinngait | 1975 | Medium | High | Expansion of 2 additional bays required | | | | |
| Kimmirut | 1976 | Unknown | High | To be completed as part of new airport | | | | |
| Pangnirtung | Unknown | Unknown | Low | 2 Bay Garage | | | | |
| Qikiqtarjuaq | 2004 | N/A | Low | Hamlet facility used. Dedicated 3-bay shelter required. | | | | |
| Sanikiluaq | 2010 | Poor | High | 2 Bay Garage | | | | |
| NORTH BAFFIN | | | | | | | | |
| Arctic Bay | 2011 | Good | Low | Equipment shelter completed in 2019; concrete floor required. | | | | |
| Clyde River | 1978 | Unknown | High | Existing 2-bay facility requires expansion or possible new building. | | | | |
| Grise Fiord | N/A | N/A | High | New 3-bay building required with concrete flooring | | | | |
| Sanirajak | 1982 | Medium | High | Rehabilitation required. | | | | |
| Igloolik | 2018 | Good | Low | Equipment shelter completed | | | | |
| Pond Inlet | N/A | Unknown | High | New 3-bay parking shelter required. | | | | |
| Resolute Bay | 1999 | Good | Unknown | Unknown | | | | |
| KIVALLIQ | | | | | | | | |



| Arviat | Unknown | Unknown | High | New 4-bay garage required with concrete floor |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Baker Lake | 1986 | Unknown | Unknown | Condition of existing 7-bay garage should be evaluated. |
| Chesterfield Inlet | Unknown | Poor | High | Shelter requires concrete floor. |
| Coral Harbour | 2003 | Unknown | Low | No airport maintenance building; Hamlet facility used |
| Rankin Inlet | 2020 | New | Low | New shelter completed in 2020. |
| Naujaat | 2020 | New | Low | New 3 Bay shelter completed in 2014. |
| Whale Cove | 2013 | Good | Low | New parking shelter built in 2013. Concrete floor required. |
| | | КІТІ | КМЕОТ | |
| Cambridge Bay | 1985 | Poor | High | Major upgrades required - maintenance building currently under assessment |
| Gjoa Haven | N/A | N/A | High | New 4-bay parking shelter required, with concrete floor. |
| Kugaaruk | N/A | N/A | High | New 3-bay parking shelter required. |
| Kugluktuk | N/A | N/A | High | New 3-bay parking shelter required. |
| Taloyoak | N/A | N/A | High | New 3-bay parking shelter required with concrete floor. |

2.5.3 DECOMMISSIONED (LEGACY) BUILDINGS

Nunavut Airports' Regional Managers have identified that many airports have several legacy buildings and assets that are no longer in use or of benefit to the airport. These facilities and assets vary from old Air Terminal Buildings, maintenance sheds, fire halls, garages, field electrical centres and deposits of garbage that have been abandoned on airport sites by past airport owners, operators or users. Besides representing environmental and financial liabilities, these items often occupy scarce airport land that may be required for other uses.

Table 2.6 identifies the current inventory of these assets that are no longer of use to the airport and should be decommissioned and removed. The input provided below should be considered a high-level overview. To properly assess the requirements for the removal of the facility assets identified, a detailed review will be required for each facility including environmental assessments as needed. The costs associated with fully remediating such items are understood to be considerable, and would seriously undermine the department's routine capital renewal and replacement activities if drawn from the same finite funding sources. Cost estimates for remediation projects have not been included in this document's financial projections or discussion.

As some of these legacy items have been inherited from previous military and Transport Canada operations, once the required remediation has been clearly identified, the Government of Nunavut may be able to recuperate some of the costs from those organizations.



| Airport | Description of Building | Notes (location, size, age, etc.) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | SOUTH BAFFIN | | | | | |
| Kinngait | Old ATB | Environmental Study has been completed. Space needed for maintainer's equipment. | | | | |
| Qikiqtarjuaq | Old ATB | Environmental Study has been completed. 50 ft. from ATB, impinges on parking space. | | | | |
| | | NORTH BAFFIN | | | | |
| Clyde River | Old ATB | Not used for years. In area next to FEC. About 20'x20' with electrical service. | | | | |
| Pond Inlet | Two Small Sheds | Each approximately 8'x 10', located under apron flood lights; electrical service. Previously used as plug in area and First Air storage. | | | | |
| Nanisivik | Airport | Airport is fully decommissioned, but site needs extensive remediation. | | | | |
| | KIVALLIQ | | | | | |
| Baker Lake | Old Airport Garage/FEC | Steel structure in poor condition. Used for cold storage by airport and hamlet. Unknown contaminants. | | | | |
| Chesterfield Inlet | Old Transport Canada trailer | Located on North side of runway near stockpile Previously used for storage but no longer needed | | | | |
| | Old Field Electrical Centre | Located on North side of runway near stockpile | | | | |
| Coral | Old TC Fire Hall/Airport Garage | Assessment completed 2020 | | | | |
| Harbour | Old storage facility | Assessment completed 2020 | | | | |
| | Old FEC (Red building) | Steel framed; structure in very good condition. Contaminants presumed. | | | | |
| | Miscellaneous Items: - Old fuel tanks - Drums of tar - Old equipment/garbage | Ownership unknown. Thought to have been left by DND/Transport Canada | | | | |
| KITIKMEOT: No assets to be decommissioned or removed. | | | | | | |

Table 2.6 – 2020 Decommissioned (Legacy) Buildings

2.5.4 SECURITY SYSTEMS

Introduction and enhancement to airport security systems is critical for the safety of airport users and staff as well as the protection of key airport assets. Currently at most Nunavut Airports there are no security systems, with the exception of the following airports which have limited security measures in place:

- \rightarrow Rankin Inlet (YRT)
- \rightarrow Cambridge Bay (YCB)

- \rightarrow Arviat (YEK)
- \rightarrow Pond Inlet (YIO)

 \rightarrow Kinngait (YTE)

 \rightarrow Baker Lake (YBK)



The limited measures at these airports consist of minimal CCTV camera installations and some porous winged security fencing along the public groundside of the terminal buildings. There is a need to have the fencing conditions cataloged and to improve security and fencing measures. When planning for the future of the Nunavut Airports, the goal is to have a more robust security system in place at all airports that can meet the local needs of each airport. The following is a list of anticipated security requirements for the Nunavut Airports:

- \rightarrow Video Surveillance (CCTV) on both groundside and airside at all airports;
- → Air Terminal Buildings to be equipped with building alarm systems (doors, windows and motion sensors);
- → Remote CCTV Monitoring capability for all Nunavut Airports at Nunavut Airports Headquarters and for regional airports at the Regional Offices;
- → For YRT, YCB, Resolute Bay (YRB), YEK and YBK: enhanced CCTV system to include video monitoring capability of the runways, taxiways, apron and the external and internal terminal areas; and
- \rightarrow For YRT, YCB and YRB: perimeter winged fencing including four (4) secured airside access points.

With the implementation of the above noted security assets, the Government of Nunavut will be able to mitigate costs due to damage and theft and provide communities and people a safer, more efficient and more reliable airport experience.

2.5.5 ACCESSIBILITY

Many existing air terminal buildings in Nunavut have barriers to accessibility. Addressing these accessibility barriers is a priority for the Government of Nunavut, but it must be noted that installing accessibility ramps into existing buildings can be complex and must meet all building codes and regulations.

Moving forward, all new air terminal buildings and all major renovations of existing terminal buildings will include improved accessibility on both airside and groundside. Nonetheless, there are a number of air terminal building ramp projects which may need to be undertaken as standalone construction projects.

| Terminal Buildings in | need of Airside Ramps | Terminal Buildings on Grade or with Airside Ramps | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| Community Notes | | Community | Notes | |
| Clyde River | | Arctic Bay | Original construction | |
| Coral Harbour | | Arviat | Installed 2019 | |
| Gjoa Haven | Design underway | Baker Lake | Installed 2019 | |
| Grise Fiord | | Cambridge Bay | Ground flush | |
| Hall Beach | Design underway | Kinngait | Ground flush | |
| Igloolik | Design underway | Pangnirtung | Ground flush | |
| Kugaaruk | | Rankin Inlet | Ground flush | |
| Pond Inlet | Planned for 2021 | Resolute Bay | Ground flush | |
| Qikiqtarjuaq | | Sanikiluaq | Installed 2020 | |
| | | Taloyoak | Ground flush | |

Projects are underway to replace the terminal buildings in Chesterfield Inlet, Naujaat, Whale Cove, Kugluktuk and Kimmirut. The new buildings will be accessible on groundside and airside.



3 BASE MOBILE EQUIPMENT NEEDS

Mobile equipment is required for the year-round maintenance of airports. Vehicles must be provided for airport operations staff, the clearing of contamination (snow and ice) from airfield surfaces, and for general maintenance such as grading and levelling gravel surfaces. The maintenance of the Nunavut Airports portfolio is contracted to private firms and Hamlet administrations using equipment supplied by Nunavut Airports, which is supplemented where necessary by renting community or contractor-owned equipment. The 24 facilities in the Nunavut Airports portfolio each have unique operational requirements and varied equipment needs, based on factors that include the size and type of airfield surfaces to be maintained.

This section reviews the age and replacement requirements for the mobile equipment fleet and provides the minimum equipment required for each of the 24 GN airports. Immediate capital expenditures are needed to address existing deficiencies with the Nunavut Airports mobile equipment fleet. Mobile equipment costs are based on GN capital equipment database valuations, as well as recent procurement costs where available. Since each piece of equipment must be transported from the supplier to the destination airport, the cost of shipping must be considered when assessing the capital costs. Nunavut Airports staff provided mobile equipment inventory information in support of the analysis below.

3.1 FLEET AGE AND HISTORICAL REPLACEMENT

Tracking the age and condition of mobile equipment is a best practice for capital asset management. Mobile equipment used to support the Nunavut Airports portfolio can be grouped into eight fleet types, as shown in Table 3.1. Across the eight fleets, the average unit age ranged from 9.0 years (Sweepers and Wheel Loaders) to 24.0 years (Packers – Wobbly Wheel).

Nunavut Airports has established recommended replacement ages for the mobile equipment types, as shown in Table 3.1. The recommended replacement ages are used in capital planning across the 20-year horizon. Based on the average ages of Table 3.1, the following fleets generally exceed the recommended replacement age: Truck – Runway and Truck – Dump / Plow. However, as identified in Section 3.3, mobile equipment units across all categories need to be purchased to address existing deficiencies.

| Fleet Item / Category | Average Age of Units in 2019 | Recommended Replacement Age (yRs) – GN |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Truck – Runway (pickup/other) | 11.0 | 8.0 |
| Truck – Dump / Plow (S/A, T/A) | 18.0 | 15.0 |
| Wheeled Loader | 9.0 | 15.0 |
| Motor Grader | 11.0 | 17.0 |
| Snowblower | 10.5 | 15.0 |
| Packer (Wobbly Wheel) | 24.0 | 25.0 |
| Sweeper | 9.0 | 15.0 |
| Dozer | 13.5 | 20.0 |

Table 3.1 – Major Mobile Equipment Fleet Synopsis

3.2 MINIMUM MOBILE EQUIPMENT NEEDS

Nunavut Airports staff prepared a minimum mobile equipment system for its portfolio in 2000. Mobile equipment needs can be classified according to airports with paved runways (Table 3.2) and gravel runways (Table 3.3), acknowledging the unique maintenance needs of the two facility types. The equipment required for each airport can be estimated based on the Airside Surface Priority Area, or the total area of airfield surfaces to be maintained in support of reliable airport operations, using the following calculation:



Airside Surface Priority Area = Runway Area + Taxiway Area + (25% of Apron Area)

Equipment needs for airports with paved runways and gravel runways are presented in Tables 3.2 and 3.3, respectively. As Rankin Inlet is the only Nunavut Airports facility with a paved runway, discrete Airside Surface Priority Area categories are not provided.

| Airports by Category | Priority Area (sq. ft.) | Mobile Equipment on Site or Required | Notes/Accessories/Conditions |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Rankin Inlet | 1,066,071 | Truck – Administrative (pickup/suburban/other) Truck – Dump/Plow S/A Truck – Pickup Truck – Pickup Truck – Dump/Plow S/A Plow/Dump Truck-Plow | Chevrolet Silverado Ford F250 Heavy Duty Work Vehicle Ford F150 Chevrolet Silverado IHC Mauler PV350 PV400 |
| | | Wheel Loader Wheel Loader Motor Grader Snowblower – Mounted Sweeper – Towed Behind Sweeper – Towed Behind Sweeper – Towed Behind Sweeper – Towed Behind Sweeper Skid Steer Generator Set Tar Kettle Tar Kettle Joint Router Hopper/Spreader Hopper/Spreader Hopper/Spreader AMSCR/CRFI Dedicated Access Vehicle (Pick up) | CAT 950F CAT 950H CAT 950H CAT 140M Vohl DV4000 Tenco 202 Vohl Towed Vohl Towed MB4600 299D Yamaha Craftco 100DC Craftco 100DC Craftco EZ100 Craftco 200 Highway P8 Batts T110C Trackless MT5TD For more accurate and consistent surface readings and to increase the runway availability and decrease flight cancellations. |
| | | Dozer | With the increase of apron surfaces in 2015, there is a need to push more snow a further distance. Currently airport has no dozer. |

Table 3.2 – Minimum Mobile Equipment for Paved Runways



| Category Based on Priority Area (sq. ft.) | Airports by Category | Priority Area (sq. m) | Priority Area (sq. ft.) | Mobile Equipment Required | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 100,000 – 199,999 | Grise Fiord | 16,362 | 176,119 | Truck – Runway Truck – Dump / Plow S/A Motor Grader Packer – Wobbly Wheel | |
| | Kimmirut | 14,667 | 157,874 | Dye Marker Slip-in Water Tank | |
| 200,000 – 299,999 | N | /A | | All above No additional equipment | |
| 300,000 – 399,999 | Pangnirtung Qikiqtarjuaq Naujaat | 31,325 36,907 37,155 | 337,179 397,263 399,933 | All above + Snowblower | |
| 400,000 – 499,999 | Arctic Bay Kinngait Chesterfield Inlet Clyde River | 46,000 44,040 39,360 40,290 | 495,139 474,042 423,667 433,678 | All above + Wheel Loader 2nd Packer – Wobbly Wheel | |
| | Igloolik Pond Inlet Sanikiluaq Whale Cove | 42,300 45,195 43,620 43,248 | 455,313 486,474 469,521 465,517 | | |
| 500,000 – 699,999 | Taloyoak Arviat Gjoa Haven Kugaaruk Coral Harbour | 41,775 48,700 47,160 53,305 55,600 | 449,662 524,202 507,626 573,770 598,473 | All above No additional equipment | |
| 700,000 - 899,999 | Baker Lake Cambridge Bay | 73,000 83,334 | 785,765 896,999 | All above No additional equipment | |
| 1,100,000 - 1,099,999 1,100,000 - 1,299,999 | Sanirajak | 100,837 /A | 1,085,399 | All above No additional equipment All above | |
| 1,300,000 – 1,499,999 | N | /A | | No additional equipment All above + 2nd Snow Blower | |
| 1,500,000 – 1,999,999 | Resolute Bay | 177,461 | 1,910,172 | All above No additional equipment | |

3.3 CURRENT EQUIPMENT NEEDS

Each of the 24 facilities in the Nunavut Airports portfolio have one or more deficiencies in their mobile equipment fleets relative to departmental guidelines. These deficiencies include:

- → Equipment units that are overdue for replacement, based on the recommended replacement ages of Table 3.1; and
- \rightarrow Airports that are missing one or more equipment units specified in Tables 3.2 and 3.3.

The equipment acquisitions required to bring the Nunavut Airports mobile equipment fleet in line with GN guidelines are identified in Table 3.4. Within the 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment, the 61 units needed are presented to document existing deficiencies. Table 3.4 only identifies mobile equipment acquisitions required to correct existing deficiencies as of 2019. Ongoing unit replacement costs, based on the recommended replacement ages of Table 3.1, are incorporated in the financial projections presented in Section 8.



| Equipment | Number Required in 2019 | Airı | port |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Truck – Pickup | 12 | Cambridge Bay Igloolik Kimmirut Kugaaruk Pangnirtung | Rankin Inlet (4) Naujaat Sanikiluaq Whale Cove |
| Truck – Dump / Plow | 12 | Arctic Bay Chesterfield Inlet Coral Harbour Grise Fiord Sanirajak Igloolik | Kimmirut Kugaaruk Qikiqtarjuaq Rankin Inlet Taloyoak Whale Cove |
| Wheel Loader | 2 | Clyde River | Rankin Inlet |
| Motor Grader | 8 | Arctic Bay Cambridge Bay Clyde River Gjoa Haven | Grise Fiord Pangnirtung Qikiqtarjuaq Resolute Bay |
| Snowblower - Mounted | 3 | Rankin Inlet Resolute Bay | Taloyoak |
| Snowblower – Self Propelled | 5 | Arviat Kinngait Chesterfield Inlet | Naujaat Sanikiluaq |
| Packer – Wobbly Wheel | 17 | Baker Lake Cambridge Bay Kinngait Chesterfield Inlet Clyde River Coral Harbour (2) Gjoa Haven Sanirajak | Igloolik Kugaaruk Kugluktuk Pangnirtung Resolute Bay Sanikiluaq Taloyoak Whale Cove |
| Sweeper | 1 | Rankin Inlet | |
| Dozer | 1 | Rankin Inlet | |
| TOTAL | 61 Units | | |

| Table 3.4 - I | Maior Mobile | Equipment | Requirements |
|---------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| | | | |



4 TRANSPORT CANADA REGULATIONS

Since the preparation of the 2014 Capital Needs Assessment, Transport Canada Civil Aviation has adopted or is in the process of adopting several significant changes to regulations which may have financial impacts for capital expenditures at Nunavut's airports. Transport Canada regulatory considerations that may impact the capital plan are summarized as follows:

- → Regulations for Transport Canada's airport funding in Nunavut are not expected to change; operational and capital funding will continue to come from within the Government of Nunavut. Transport Canada is not expected to provide regular capital funding to sustain the Nunavut Airports portfolio in the long term.
- → New Runway End Safety Area (RESA) regulations may require the eventual implementation of RESAs at Nunavut airports, however, it is unlikely this will result in immediate large-scale capital expenditures.
- → A Safety Management System (SMS) has been in place since 2008/2009 and is currently under the management of Winnipeg Airport Services Corporation. It is not expected that significant SMS capital investments will be required within the 20-year planning horizon.
- → New TP312 5th Edition aerodrome standards do not require immediate capital investments. However, these updated standards and best practices will be applied in new or major airport projects (e.g., airport relocation projects).
- → New Aerodrome Work Consultation Regulations may require additional expenditure during the preconstruction phase of major aerodrome projects.

4.1 AIRPORT CERTIFICATION

Transport Canada regulates certified airports in Canada under the authority of the *Aeronautics Act* and the Canadian Aviation Regulations (CARs). Transport Canada requires aerodromes to achieve certification when one of the following criteria is met:

- \rightarrow The airport provides scheduled passenger air services;
- \rightarrow The airport is in a built-up area; and / or
- \rightarrow The certification of an aerodrome is deemed by the Minister to be in the best interest of the public.

Nunavut's communities rely on airports to facilitate the movement of goods and people throughout the territory. As a result, most Nunavut airports facilitate scheduled passenger services and therefore must be certified by Transport Canada. The intent of certification is to ensure that all airports satisfy national standards in the interest of public safety. Airport certification requires the compliance of Nunavut airports with Transport Canada's *TP312 5th Edition – Aerodrome Standards and Recommended Practices*.

4.2 AIRPORT SYSTEM FUNDING

The Government of Nunavut funds both operational and capital costs for the Nunavut Airports portfolio. Airport revenues accrue to the Government of Nunavut general revenues, rather than the airport system specifically. These revenues are currently quite low. Transport Canada funding has remained unchanged since the divestiture of arctic airports to the territorial government in 1991 and 1995. The financial support for these airports was incorporated into the Federal government's contribution to territorial funding and is no longer uniquely identifiable. It is not anticipated that Transport Canada will alter its policy on continued funding of the Nunavut Airports system; funding is described further in Section 9.1.



4.3 CURRENT DEVIATIONS

Nine of Nunavut's airports have approved deviations from Transport Canada standards which are documented in each airport's respective Airport Operations Manual (AOM). Some of these airports require infrastructure modifications, however most deviations can be addressed by operational solutions to ensure compliance with the Operator's Obligations. Table 4.1 presents the current approved deviations with the Nunavut Airports portfolio and associated mitigations.

| Table 4.1 – 2019 Aerodrome Deviatio |
|-------------------------------------|
|-------------------------------------|

| No. | Location | Deviation | Mitigation | | |
|------------|---------------|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | Cambridge Bay | → Aircraft parked on private apron may penetrate the transitional surface. Letter of agreement required regarding operational constraints of south apron. | Ensure that private operators adhere to operational restrictions | | |
| 2 Kinngait | | → Take-off / Approach Surface of Runway 307T is violated by terrain. | Notes identifying the terrain in the Canadian Flight Supplement (CFS) (Terrain) | | |
| | | → Transitional Surfaces are violated by mountains on both sides of the runway. | Terrain features highlighted in the CFS (Terrain) | | |
| 3 | Clyde River | → Power poles penetrate the Transitional Surfaces on each side of the Runway 024T threshold. | Relocate the power poles. | | |
| | | → The location of the apron means that the Transitional Surface may be penetrated by the tail of a parked aircraft. | Ensure that operators adhere to operational restrictions | | |
| 4 | Kimmirut | → Inadequate graded area and runway strip. | Relocate the airport Included in Needs Assessment | | |
| | | → Violation of Transitional Surfaces on both sides of the runway by terrain, power lines, buildings, and antenna. | Do nothing (Terrain) | | |
| | | → No graded area at the end of Runway 17, inadequate graded area at the end of Runway 34. | Relocate the airport Included in Needs Assessment | | |
| | | → Violation of the Take-off/Approach Surface at both ends of the runway. | Do nothing (Terrain) | | |
| | | → A portion of the runway exceeds the allowable slope (4% versus 2%). | Relocate the airport Included in Needs Assessment | | |
| 5 | Kugaaruk | → Runway length is Code 3, deviation approved for physical characteristics and Obstacle Limitation Surfaces (OLS) to Code 2 standards which limits scheduled passenger service. | Do nothing (Terrain) | | |
| | | → Approach Surface of Runway 23 is occasionally violated by vehicles driving on the road to the northwest of the Runway 23 threshold. Two stop signs located on road approaching the runway Approach Surface require vehicles to yield to approaching aircraft. | Enforce traffic compliance to the stop signs. | | |



| 6 | Pangnirtung | → Mountains rising to 500 m within 150 m of the runway. | Do nothing (Terrain) | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | → Reduction in strip width from 60 m to 45 m. | Relocate the airport | |
| | | → The location of the apron means that Transitional Surface may be penetrated by the tail of a parked aircraft. | Relocate the airport | |
| | → Inadequate graded area at both runway ends. | Relocate the airport | | |
| 7 | 7 Qikiqtarjuaq | → Mountains penetrate the Outer Surface to the southeast of the airport. | Do nothing (Terrain) | |
| | | → Approach and Transitional Surfaces for Runway 21 are penetrated by terrain. | Do nothing (Terrain) | |
| | | → The location of the apron means that the Transitional Surface may be penetrated by the tail of parked aircraft. | Develop operational solution to ensure aircraft remain clear of the Transitional Surface | |
| 8 | Resolute Bay | → The graded area of Runway 17/35 is undersized. | Increase graded area | |
| | | → Antennae penetrate the Transitional Surface. | Relocate antennae | |
| 9 | Sanikiluaq | → Runway 27 windsock is located on right side of the runway instead of left. | Install second windsock | |

4.4 RUNWAY END SAFETY AREA REGULATIONS

Runway End Safety Areas are prepared areas beyond the runway ends that can support an aircraft in the event of an overrun or undershoot. The RESA will reduce the severity of an aircraft accident in either situation. RESAs are not required to be constructed to the same structural standards as a runway; however, they must be clear of obstacles and be capable of supporting the weight of emergency response vehicles.

RESAs were first introduced to the international community in 1999 by the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). ICAO's Standards and Recommended Practices requires a RESA extending 90 m in length beyond the 60 m runway strip length, for a total length of 150 m from the runway threshold. However, ICAO recommends that RESAs be extended to a length of 240 m beyond the 60 m runway strip, for a total length of 300 m. As an ICAO member, Canada is expected to implement ICAO standards. Changes to TP312 5th Edition are expected to be published by Transport Canada requiring airports that handle significant amounts of passengers annually to provide RESAs.

TP312 5th Edition outlines the RESA standards for 5th Edition certified facilities. At the time of this report's preparation, airports "grandfathered" to be certified and operate under 4th Edition standards are not yet required to adopt RESAs until they upgrade their certification to 5th Edition. Some of Nunavut's airports are currently exempt from RESA standards altogether; Standard 3.2.1.2 states:

This section (3.2 Runway End Safety Areas) does not apply to aerodromes located north of the 60th degree parallel that only serve air carrier operations utilizing aircraft with less than 31 passenger seats."

Looking to the future, Transport Canada is studying what circumstances in which to require mandatory compliance to RESA standards. The Notice of Proposed Amendment (NPA) on RESAs (Notice No. 2016-007, May 2016) utilizes a threshold approach based on passenger volumes versus runway length. Four passenger volume thresholds were outlined in the NPA as potential triggers for RESAs:

- 1. Over 1 million passengers per annum.
- 4. Over 200,000 passengers per annum
- 2. Over 500,000 passengers per annum.
- 3. Over 325,000 passengers per annum.

Although Transport Canada has provided no formal guidance to date regarding the passenger threshold none of the facilities within the Nunavut Airports system exceed the lowest passenger threshold (200,000 per annum). For this reason, it is not anticipated that Nunavut's airports will be required to comply with upcoming RESA regulations. The necessary implementation of RESAs in Nunavut would likely stem from the relocation of airports (Pangnirtung and Kimmirut) and by voluntary changes in certification to TP312 5th Edition. Table 4.2 outlines potential triggers and the required actions to ensure compliance is maintained.

| | Trigger | Action | Number of Nunavut Airports Impacted |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|
| \rightarrow | Maintain grandfathered certification per TP312 4 th Edition | No RESA implementation required | 22 |
| \rightarrow | Annual passenger movements below NPA threshold (200,000 passengers per annum) | | |
| \rightarrow | Maintain grandfathered TP312 4 th Edition certification | Forced RESA implementation as per NPA | 0 |
| \rightarrow | Annual passenger movements above NPA threshold (200,000 passengers per annum) | | |
| \rightarrow | Voluntary change to TP312 5 th Edition certification | Implementation of RESA required as per TP312 5 th Edition Standard 3.2 | 0 |
| \rightarrow | Airport serves aircraft with more than 31 seats | | |
| \rightarrow | Voluntary change to TP312 5 th Edition Certification | No RESA implementation required. | 0 |
| \rightarrow | Airport serves aircraft less than 31 seats | | |
| \rightarrow | New airport certified to TP312 5 th Edition | No RESA implementation required. | 2* |
| \rightarrow | Airport serves aircraft less than 31 seats | | |
| \rightarrow \rightarrow | New airport certified to TP312 5 th Edition Airport serves aircraft with more than 31 seats | Implementation of RESA required as per TP312 5 th Edition Standard 3.2 | 2* |

Table 4.2 - Triggers for Runway End Safety Areas in Nunavut

* Pangnirtung and Kimmirut Airports are identified as candidates for relocation. The requirement for RESA will be determined in the detailed design phase of these new facilities, based on the anticipated aircraft types and number of passenger seats on the design aircraft.

A preliminary investigation of implementing RESAs in the *Runway End Safety Areas, Options and Impacts Assessment* has determined that, given the unique topographical conditions that exist at most Nunavut airports, the construction of new full-size RESAs may be challenging. Two options have been suggested by Transport Canada to accommodate RESAs within the current airfield footprint:

- 1 Adjust the airport's declared distances; and / or
- 2 Install an Engineered Materials Arrestor System (EMAS).

The reduction of declared distances is a viable option for Sanirajak, Gjoa Haven, Resolute Bay, and Kugluktuk. However, it is not expected that these airports will require RESAs as there is no intention to voluntarily certify the airports under TP312 5th Edition, and their passenger traffic is not expected to exceed the lowest bracket defined in the NPA.

EMAS use low strength materials to bring fast moving aircraft to a stop in the event of an overrun. EMAS installations are useful in some cases; however, their high capital development costs and special maintenance considerations make this solution less feasible in northern climates.

4.5 SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM REGULATIONS

In 2008/2009, Transport Canada enacted legislation which required that certified airports implement and maintain a Safety Management System. Nunavut Airports has an established SMS which has been fully implemented and approved by Transport Canada.

In 2016, Winnipeg Airport Services Corporation (WASCO) was retained to develop, implement, administer and manage the SMS for all 24 certified airports operated by the Government of Nunavut. In addition to the management of SMS, WASCO also provides independent quality assurance audits on the airports' SMS programs and infrastructure. The outputs of the SMS and quality assurance audits help to inform the GN on spending decisions.

4.6 CURRENT AERODROME STANDARDS

4.6.1 BASIS FOR CURRENT STANDARDS

Effective September 15, 2015, Transport Canada's TP312 5th Edition became the standards document for airport planning and design in Canada. In previous versions of TP312, including 4th Edition, airport design and infrastructure requirements were determined based on the physical characteristics of runway length and aircraft size. The 5th Edition of TP312 revises this approach to associate airport design requirements with aircraft performance and type of operation, in addition to the physical characteristics of aircraft.

The 5th Edition of TP312 aims to address issues commonly experienced at Canadian aerodromes. These include challenges associated with changing levels of service and the type of traffic using airport facilities. The modifications contained in TP312 5th Edition were made to ensure consistency in the operational concepts within North America and to harmonize, where possible, with current ICAO specifications, modern instrument procedure design criteria, and advances in airfield technology.

TP312 5th Edition contains 'standards' only. The 'recommendations' of TP312 4th Edition have either been removed or adopted as standards. Where certain recommendations are found to be of use to airports in adopting a best practices approach, the information is released in the form of Advisory Circulars or by referencing ICAO Annexes and Aerodrome Design / Service Manuals.

The key principle of 5th Edition is that the certification level of service will be established based on the aircraft using the facility or in some cases planned usage, as declared by the airport operator. Runway length will no longer be of prime consideration in the application of the standards. The certification level of service will be published in the Aeronautical Information Publication (AIP), Canada Flight Supplement (CFS), and Canada Air Pilot (CAP) for use by aircrews in determining the suitability of the aerodrome for the intended operation pursuant to CAR 602.96(2b).

4.6.2 TP312 5TH EDITION COMPLIANCE

TP312 5th Edition was enacted via Section 302.07 – Obligations of Operators of the Canadian Aviation Regulations, which states:

The operator of an airport shall:

- A. Comply;
 - *i* subject to subparagraph (*ii*), with the standards set out in the aerodrome standards and recommended practices publications, as they read on the date on which the airport certificate was issued;
 - *ii in respect of any part or facility of the airport that has been replaced or improved, with the standards set out in the aerodrome standards and recommended practices publications, as they read on the date on which the part or facility was returned to service; and*

iii with any conditions specified in the airport certificate by the Minister pursuant to subsection 302.03(3).

Section 302.07 is generally referred to as the "grandfathering" clause. Compliance with the most recent edition of TP312 has not typically been required until the operator undertakes the reconstruction, replacement, or improvement of the specific facility (i.e., airfield electrical rehabilitation, taxiway reconstruction) to which the standard is applicable. Transport Canada has indicated that routine maintenance activities such as crack sealing and repaving are not considered triggers for compliance with the latest edition of TP312.

Since the official release of TP312 5th Edition in September 2015, Transport Canada has provided some clarification regarding the applicability of the new standards. This clarification is found in AC No. 302-018 – *Grandfathering at Airports Pursuant to Canadian Aviation Regulation (CAR) 302.07*. The AC identifies which specific activities will trigger compliance with TP312 5th Edition. In addition to AC No. 302-018, Transport Canada has published the following ACs to clarify the implementation process and advise airports on the major changes to standards as contained in TP312 5th Edition:

- → AC No. 302-021 Introduction of TP312 5th Edition;
- → AC No. 302-019 Methodology for the Identification of the Aircraft Group Number; and
- → AC No. 302-020 Mixed Operations at an Airport.

It is expected that most of Nunavut's airports will continue to operate under TP312 4th Edition certification until a major upgrade to the respective airport triggers the need for certification to 5th Edition.

4.6.2.1 PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO TP312 5TH EDITION

Transport Canada publicly released the first amendment to TP312 5th Edition in August 2019. The proposed amendment includes over 200 changes which are mainly editorial in nature, to provide additional clarity on the standards and to facilitate their application. The timeline for the approval of this amendment and its coming into force is unknown. In the interim, the changes should be reviewed in detail and considered during the design of new infrastructure projects to ensure future compliance. Additionally, the GN should monitor the amendment process for further revisions. From an initial review, the amendment does not contain any major technical changes from the pre-amendment version of TP312 5th Edition. This suggests that there will be minimal capital expenditure implications.

4.6.3 NUNAVUT AIRPORTS 5TH EDITION IMPACTS

The greatest costs associated with Nunavut's potential compliance to TP312 5th Edition may stem from the updated and expanded requirement for larger protective areas surrounding the runway surface. Both the requirements for runway strip and runway graded area (or Runway Safety Area in 5th Edition) have increased in the new standards.



Figure 4.1 - Runway Strip and Runway Safety Area

4.6.3.1 RUNWAY STRIP

The runway strip is a defined area that includes the runway, which is intended to protect aircraft during take-off and landing (Figure 4.1). TP312 4th Edition recommends that all objects situated on a runway strip which may endanger an aircraft should be regarded as an obstacle and be removed. However, 5th Edition prohibits any fixed objects within the strip with the exception of specific visual aids, navigation aids, animal control devices, and arrestor beds.

Under the 5th Edition, the width of the runway strip will increase in many cases. For example, Sanirajak Airport is certified as Code 3C-Non-Precision (NP) under TP312 4th Edition and satisfies the 150 m strip width requirement. Under 5th Edition standards, Sanirajak Airport would be required to meet Aircraft Group Number (AGN) IIIB standards as the critical aircraft is the B737-200. Should the runway be altered after the publication of 5th Edition, it would be required to widen the runway strip width from 150 m to 244 m. In some instances, fixed objects that were once outside of the runway strip, such as terminal buildings and hangars, may be within the strip.

The grandfathering of TP312 4th Edition airport certification until a major upgrade is completed, as described in Section 4.6.2, means that the Runway Strip changes explained in Table 4.3 are not included in the 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment.

4.6.3.2 RUNWAY GRADED AREA/RUNWAY SAFETY AREA

The Runway Safety Area (RSA) is an area located within the runway strip intended to reduce the risk of damage to an aircraft running off a runway (Figure 4.1). In TP312 5th Edition, the Runway Safety Area is equivalent to the graded portion of a runway strip, or the "graded portion of the runway strip" defined in 4th Edition. Consistent with runway strips, RSAs are offset a greater distance than the corresponding graded areas defined in TP312 5th Edition. For example, the graded portion of the runway strip at Chesterfield Inlet Airport is currently 46 m wide and meets 4th Edition standards for a Code 2C - Non-Instrument (NI) facility. Under 5th Edition standards, the RSA will increase to 80 m wide. This increased width would require sourcing large quantities of granular material at many Nunavut airports and could conflict with watercourses near runways. The potential impacts to runway strips and RSAs are presented in Table 4.3.

As identified in Section 4.6.2, most of Nunavut's airports are anticipated to continue to operate under TP312 4th Edition certification until a major upgrade to the respective airport triggers the need for certification to 5th

Edition. Accordingly, the RSA changes contemplated in Table 4.3 will not have significant capital planning impacts and are not included in the 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment.

| | TP312 | | Runway Strip | | | Graded Area/Runway Safety Area | | |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Airport | 4 th Edition Runway Code | 5 th Edition AGN | Current Width (m) | Width (m) - TP312 4 th Edition | Width (m) - TP312 5 th Edition | Current Width (m) | Width (m) - TP312 4 th Edition | Width (m) - TP312 5 th Edition |
| Arctic Bay ¹ | 2C-NI | IIIA-NI | 60 | 60 | 80 | 46 | 46 | 80 |
| Arviat ¹ | 2C-NP | IIIA-NP | 90 | 90 | 150 | 46 | 46 | 80 |
| Baker Lake | 2C-NI | IIIA-NI | 60 | 60 | 80 | 46 | 46 | 80 |
| Cambridge Bay | 3C-NP | IIIB-NP | 150 | 150 | 244 | 90 | 90 | 150 |
| Kinngait | 2C-NP | IIIA-NP | 90 | 90 | 150 | 46 | 46 | 80 |
| Chesterfield Inlet | 2C-NI | IIIA-NI | 60 | 60 | 80 | 46 | 46 | 80 |
| Clyde River | 2C-NP | IIIA-NP | 90 | 90 | 150 | 46 | 46 | 80 |
| Coral Harbour | 3C-NP | IIIA-NP | 150 | 150 | 150 | 90 | 90 | 80 |
| Gjoa Haven | 2C-NI | IIIA-NI | 60 | 60 | 80 | 46 | 46 | 80 |
| Grise Fiord | 1B-NI | II-NI | 120* | 60 | 80 | 38 | 38 | 80 |
| Sanirajak | 3C-NP | IIIB-NP | 150 | 150 | 244 | 90 | 90 | 150 |
| Igloolik | 2C-NI | IIIA-NI | 60 | 60 | 80 | 46 | 46 | 80 |
| Kimmirut | 1B-NI | II-NI | 26* | 60 | 80 | 26* | 38 | 80 |
| Kugaaruk | 2C-NI | IIIA-NI | 60 | 60 | 80 | 46 | 46 | 80 |
| Kugluktuk | 3C-NI | IIIB-NI | 90 | 90 | 150 | 80 | 80 | 150 |
| Pangnirtung | 2C-NI | IIIA-NI | 45* | 60 | 80 | 45 | 46 | 80 |
| Pond Inlet | 2C-NI | IIIA-NI | 60 | 60 | 80 | 46 | 46 | 80 |

Table 4.3 - Comparison of Runway Strip and Safety Areas, TP312 4th vs 5th Edition

| | TP312 | | Runway Strip | | | Graded Area/Runway Safety Area | | |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Airport | 4 th Edition Runway Code | 5 th Edition AGN | Current Width (m) | Width (m) - TP312 4 th Edition | Width (m) - TP312 5 th Edition | Current Width (m) | Width (m) - TP312 4 th Edition | Width (m) - TP312 5 th Edition |
| Qikiqtarjuaq | 2C-NI | IIIA-NI | 60 | 60 | 80 | 46 | 46 | 80 |
| Rankin Inlet | 3C-NP | IIIB-NP | 152* | 150 | 244 | 90 | 90 | 150 |
| Naujaat | 2C-NI | IIIA-NI | 60 | 60 | 80 | 46 | 46 | 80 |
| Resolute Bay | 4C-P | IIIB-P | 300 | 150 | 244 | 122 | 90 | 150 |
| Sanikiluaq | 2C-NP | IIIA-NP | 90 | 90 | 150 | 46 | 46 | 80 |
| Taloyoak | 2C-NI | IIIA-NI | 60 | 60 | 80 | 46 | 46 | 80 |
| Whale Cove | 2C-NI | IIIA-NI | 60 | 60 | 80 | 46 | 46 | 80 |

¹ No changes to runway graded area or strip width since 2014.

4.6.4 COST OF NEW AERODROME STANDARDS

It is anticipated that it would be cost prohibitive to bring all 24 study airports to TP312 5th Edition compliance. Further study will be required to provide cost estimates to certify each airport to 5th Edition. The Nunavut Airports system is grandfathered to 4th Edition standards and can maintain that certification until major altering work is completed or level-of-service is changed. Therefore, the coming into force of TP312 5th Edition poses no significant capital costs.

It is recommended that the Government of Nunavut complete a TP312 5th Edition gap analysis for each airport to further understand the existing deficiencies in attaining compliance to 5th Edition, and to develop rough-order-magnitude cost estimates to attain TP312 5th Edition compliance.

4.7 NEW AERODROME WORK CONSULTATIONS

In 2017, Transport Canada introduced a new regulation (CAR 307) for stakeholder consultations prior to the commencement of aerodrome work. The new regulation states that aerodrome work is considered as:

- (a) building a new aerodrome; or
- (b) at an existing aerodrome,
 - (i) building a new runway for aeroplanes, or

(ii) increasing the length of an existing runway for aeroplanes by 100 m or by 10%, whichever is greater.

Aerodrome relocations, such as those proposed at Kimmirut and Pangnirtung, will be required to demonstrate that sufficient consultation has been completed. Additionally, extensions to existing runways will trigger new aerodrome work consultations. These new regulations may result in additional costs to complete the required community engagement.

5 AIRPORT RELOCATIONS

In the 2014 Capital Needs Assessment, both Kimmirut Airport and Pangnirtung Airport were identified as candidates for relocation. Since 2014, relocation studies have been undertaken for both airports.

5.1 KIMMIRUT AIRPORT

Kimmirut Airport is among the most physically challenging airports in Canada with scheduled air services; mountainous terrain and proximity to the community prevents the expansion of the Airport. While Kimmirut is a certified airport, it has major deviations from Transport Canada's safety standards including:

- → Violation of the Take-off/Approach Surfaces at both ends of the runway.
- → Inadequate Runway Graded Area and Runway Strip.
- → Violation of the Transitional Surfaces on both sides of Runway 16-34 by terrain, power lines, buildings and an antenna.
- \rightarrow A portion of the runway exceeds the allowable longitudinal slope (4% versus 2%).

MMM Group Limited prepared a preliminary siting study for a new Kimmirut Airport in 2015. In 2017, WSP completed a Preliminary Design and Cost Estimate Report that advanced the analysis of the 2015 report. This study provided sufficient information to evaluate different airport design concepts and to prepare a Class 'D' cost estimate. An analysis of existing and future air services was completed to select an appropriate design aircraft. This selection process also included technical reviews of the requirements of each aircraft type. The conceptual airport design addressed considerations that included, but were not limited to:

- \rightarrow Regulatory standards;
- → Physical zoning;
- \rightarrow Airfield characteristics (e.g., runway length and strength);
- → Navigational aids; and
- \rightarrow The Air Terminal Building.

A Class 'D' cost estimate was developed to provide a reasonable estimate of the capital costs required to develop the new airport. The pricing reflected the probable construction costs obtainable in Kimmirut and was based, in part, on recent construction costs for similar projects in Nunavut. Quantities of the major elements were assessed from the design drawings prepared for both the airport and road route. Allowances were made for items such as mobilization costs and project contingencies. The Class 'D' cost estimate for the relocation of Kimmirut Airport, including both the new airport and the access road from the community, is an **estimated \$73 million (2017)**, inclusive of all aviation support services required to operate and maintain a certified airport in accordance with TP312 5th Edition.

5.2 PANGNIRTUNG AIRPORT

Pangnirtung Airport is situated at sea level in Pangnirtung Fiord on Baffin Island. Located at the Arctic Circle north of Iqaluit, Pangnirtung has a slightly warmer climate due to the ocean's influence and lies in a region of continuous permafrost. Year-round access can only be provided by air; however, the airport cannot be enlarged to meet access needs, new safety standards, and operations by modern, cost-efficient aircraft. Community growth has created demand for land that is unavailable along the fiord shoreline, but can be provided by using the fiord-side airport site. The Class 'D' cost estimate for the relocation of Pangnirtung Airport, including both the new airport and the access road from the community, is an **estimated \$189 million (2015)**, inclusive of all aviation support services required to operate and maintain a certified airport in accordance with TP312 5th Edition.
From 2014 to 2017, MMM Group Limited completed several projects in support of the development of a new airport in Pangnirtung, including:

Airport Relocation - Conceptual Design

- → Helicopter Route Reconnaissance
- → Photogrammetry Data Collection
- → Lower Valley Access Road Realignment
- → Airport Power Supply Strategy
- → Road Safety Study
- → Stormwater Management Report
- → Geotechnical Design Report Airport and Access Road
- → Nunavut Impact Review Board Application
- → Detailed Design of Access Road

The current airport has a number of safety-related concerns, including: poor weather (high wind speeds, low cloud ceilings, and limited horizontal visibility); mountains with elevations of up to 1,000 m (3,200 ft.) adjacent to the 2 km (1.25 mi.) wide fiord; mechanical turbulence such that pilots will not approach if crosswinds exceed 5 knots; limited navigation and communication aids due to the surrounding mountains; limited weather observations at the fiord level; limited natural light as Pangnirtung is located adjacent to the Arctic Circle; and limited reliability due to rapidly changing weather conditions.

The new airport design meets all the standards contained within TP312 5th Edition. The relocated airport would be served by visual and electronic navigation aids including a Non-Precision GPS approach down to an altitude as low as 250 ft. with visibility of 1 statute mile. The airfield design includes one apron and two taxiways to accommodate independent aircraft power-in and power-out operations. The apron could flexibly accommodate the parking and operations of ATR72, RJ85, C-130 Hercules, and helicopters. The Airport Concept Design includes a 314 m² Air Terminal Building with public areas, concessions, passenger services, future security functions, administration offices, and a Community Aerodrome Radio Station. The airport would include a maintenance garage and provisions for an air cargo building for food imports and fish exports.

The new airport design meets all physical zoning and safety requirements, considers applicable weather conditions, avoids permafrost areas and achieves many economies in construction costs. Construction at an elevation of approximately 2,100 ft. above the community requires major rock excavation and gravel fill to achieve level surfaces and an obstacle-free environment for safe and certifiable airport operations. The ATB site will be constructed on rock and the site will serve as the rock quarry for runway granular materials. The runway will be constructed on rock fill from the air terminal site.

The construction of an access road to the new airport site is supportable with a modification of traditional roadway drainage design. The local conditions are sufficiently different from typical roadway conditions in more populated areas of Canada that traditional drainage methods will likely be ineffective at providing robust drainage performance at this site. As such, alternative approaches that account for the local conditions and anticipated climate change impacts have been considered. In this manner, the anticipated use of the roadway is not likely to introduce significant maintenance burdens on the roadway corridor from insufficient drainage capacity.

6 RUNWAY REHABILITATIONS AND EXTENSIONS

Runway rehabilitation and extension requirements at community airports in Nunavut represent a significant capital consideration. Rehabilitation projects are required at airports with poor runway surface conditions, while runway extensions may be required to address payload or aircraft type restrictions resulting from insufficient take-off and landing distances.

6.1 RUNWAY REHABILITATION REQUIREMENTS

Runways are the most critical piece of infrastructure at airports. Maintaining adequate runway surface conditions is vital to aviation safety. Runway pavement structure systems can be classified into two categories: rigid pavements and flexible pavements. Rigid pavement is constructed from cement concrete or reinforced concrete slabs, while flexible pavement can be constructed from a mixture of asphaltic and bituminous materials, and / or gravel. All facilities in the Nunavut Airports portfolio have gravel (flexible pavement) runways, with the exception of Rankin Inlet. Over the life cycle of a runway pavement structure, failures may occur from factors that include, but are not limited to: weather conditions, pavement aging, and aircraft loading. Examples of pavement failures include alligator cracking, shear cracking, longitudinal cracking, depressions, rutting, frost heaves, and bleeding. Depending on the severity of the pavement failure, there are multiple possible maintenance solutions such as minor spot repairs, large-scale repairs, and complete runway rehabilitation.

Based on consultations with air carriers currently serving the studied airports, the following facilities require short-term corrective actions and subsequent runway maintenance works:

- → CLYDE RIVER: Air carriers have reported challenging runway conditions during the winter months due to inadequate runway maintenance. The airside surfaces rehabilitation project has just completed and will improve the overall drainage of the airfield. Regular maintenance following this project will be necessary for continued safe operations.
- → KINNGAIT: Air carriers have reported spring thaw water pooling on the runway surface. This pooling is generally a result of factors that include inadequate snow clearing, insufficient grading, and irregular airfield maintenance.
- → KUGLUKTUK: Air carriers have reported water pooling on the runway surface.
- → PANGNIRTUNG: The strength of the runway is reported to be gradually deteriorating, with yearover-year decreases in the CBR values of the thresholds and turnaround bays. Further degradation may necessitate changes in air carrier take-off and landing performance calculations, and subsequent payload restrictions. A runway rehabilitation is recommended to increase the pavement strength.

6.2 RUNWAY EXTENSION REQUIREMENTS

Runway length requirements depend on factors such as aircraft performance, payload, temperature, and runway condition (i.e. frozen, gravel). Air carriers seek to maximize aircraft payloads when transporting passengers, fuel, and cargo, however payloads may be restricted because of the runway length available for take-off and landing. Consulted air carriers have indicated that flights to and from the airports identified in Table 6.1 incur payload restrictions due to their runway lengths.

| Airport | Current Runway Length (ft.) |
|--------------|-----------------------------|
| Arctic Bay | 3,935 |
| Kinngait | 3,988 |
| Clyde River | 3,501 |
| Gjoa Haven | 4,400 |
| Kimmirut | 1,899 |
| Pangnirtung | 2,920 |
| Qikiqtarjuaq | 3,803 |
| Taloyoak | 4,009 |

Table 6.1 – Airport Runway Extension Candidates

The ATR 42-300 is commonly used by air carriers at community airports in Nunavut. This aircraft fleet, with an average age of 28 years, will be due for retirement in the coming years. It is expected that air carriers will replace these aircraft with the newer ATR 42-500. From consultations with air carriers, the ATR 42-500 will not be able to serve Pangnirtung due to its runway length. Clyde River can only be served by the ATR 42-500 in the winter when the runway is frozen, as the combination of gravel performance penalties and inadequate runway length during the remainder of the year will prohibit operations. To ensure future ATR 42-500 operations at Clyde River and Pangnirtung, runway extensions at both airports may be required.

WSP recommended that the GN commission a detailed runway length requirement study for the Nunavut Airports portfolio. This study would investigate current and future requirements to sustain viable air services, and address factors such as runway surface structure, aircraft take-off and landing performance, approach procedures, and current and future critical aircraft. This report would be in addition to the Usability Study that was completed in 2014.

7 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, POLICY AND PLANNING

7.1 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Future economic development in Nunavut will be reliant on a safe, effective, and reliable air transportation system. From the transportation of delegates to conferences to the shipping of product from territorial fisheries, the importance and value of Nunavut's air transportation system is clear. Ensuring that appropriate airport policies are implemented will ensure that the Nunavut Airports system can support current and future economic development.

7.2 POLICY DEVELOPMENT

The Department of Economic Development and Transportation currently has no published policies specific to Nunavut Airports. However, current actions and resource allocation decisions suggest a set of implied policies are followed, even if these are not published. For example, the department has a clear practice of entering into contracts with municipal governments and private businesses for the operations and maintenance of airports in the system. It is recommended that the GN review its current operations and develop clear and concise policies with an emphasis on safety, reliable operations, and economic development. Six (6) policies that the department may wish to document and implement include:

- 1 The Government of Nunavut will continue to own and operate airports in Nunavut.
- 2 The Government of Nunavut is prepared to enter into partnership arrangements to fund and operate airports. These arrangements should be encouraged when determined to be in the best interest of the Government of Nunavut and Nunavummiut.
- **3** The Air Transportation System in Nunavut is part of the Canadian System and therefore should be organized to integrate into the national system as best possible.
- 4 Safety, security, reliability, economic development, and service are the highest priorities of the airport system.
- 5 In seeking and allocating funding, the priorities are to improve airport safety and security, regulatory compliance, protect airport assets (such as equipment and runways), reduce operating costs, and seek efficiencies.
- 6 Long-term capital planning will be based on current and approved site, master, and development plans.

The GN may also wish to prepare policies for the promotion of the joint use of resources (e.g., physical, and human) with Hamlets, and the use of the airport system as a training opportunity to develop skills for Nunavummiut.

7.3 MASTER AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING

The preparation and updating of Master Plans for all airports in Canada, including the Arctic A, B and C airports, was a Transport Canada policy prior to the transfer of the Arctic Airports to the Government of Northwest Territories and later to the Government of Nunavut. The original Transport Canada policy required the preparation of a Master Plan for all airports with a 15 to 20-year planning horizon, and with regular reviews and updates undertaken every 5 years. The GN has not consistently followed this practice in recent years and does not maintain Master Plans for all 24 facilities in the Nunavut Airports portfolio.

The 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment serves a valuable role in identifying overall funding priorities and needs across the entire Nunavut Airports system. While there are similarities among the 24 facilities, each airport is inherently unique as a function of the needs of the respective community, the type and number of aircraft movements, the local climate and meteorological conditions, and the infrastructure inventory. This granularity of detail cannot be captured in the Capital Needs Assessment, and generalizations must be

made across the entire airport system. Master Plans therefore are a valuable tool to examine each airport's needs separately, acknowledging the intricacies of each facility.

The preparation of Airport Master Plans can follow a process that includes:

- \rightarrow The consideration of the airport's role and purpose in the community.
- \rightarrow The identification of existing infrastructure and review of its condition.
- \rightarrow The determination of future conditions, considering factors such as aircraft movement and passenger activity forecasts, the impacts of a changing climate, and community needs.
- \rightarrow The analysis of deficiencies in supporting future conditions.
- \rightarrow The preparation of a phased Development Plan for capital needs.
- \rightarrow The consideration of airport operations, governance, environmental and social impacts, and economic development.

The preparation of a Master Plan can be supported by consultations with stakeholders such as on-site airport staff, GN representatives, residents and community leaders, and aviation industry contacts. This approach emphasizes local knowledge as a "bottom-up" approach to planning, improving validity and transparency and improving community attachment.

It is acknowledged that the preparation of Master Plans across the 24-airport system represents a significant upfront capital expense, and a recurring expense through their review and updating. However, through proactive planning, capital needs will be identified early on, allowing for improved long-range fiscal awareness. It is recommended that the GN consider adopting a policy for the preparation of Master Plans for the Nunavut Airports portfolio. This policy should include review and update periods, requirements for the planning process, stakeholder consultation standards, and mandatory sections. A staggered approach to preparing and updating Master Plans across the Nunavut Airports portfolio, for example preparing four Airport Master Plans per year, will decrease the overall capital funding needs incurred in each fiscal year, with funding instead spread evenly over multiple years. This staggered approach will also benefit the GN in subsequent years when costs must be incurred to review and update the Master Plans. For more critical airports such as hubs and larger communities with more aircraft movements a triggered approach for preparing and updating the Master Plans will be used. These triggers will consist of airports requiring large capital projects (i.e. major civil work, ATB replacement, etc.) when the Master Plans will have the greatest impact on the development.

7.4 PRINCIPLES FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF HUB DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS

A hub airport is a place where passengers and/or cargo arrive from one region and can then be distributed to other intra regional and inter regional airports, as necessary. The Iqaluit and Rankin Inlet airports are the only designated hubs servicing Nunavut. Cambridge Bay is also recognized as having some of the characteristics of a regional hub in the Kitikmeot region, mostly due to the presence of regional government services and Inuit organizations based in the community rather than due to route connectivity or the availability of airport-related services.

Key considerations for the investment and development of a hub airport in Nunavut include, but are not limited to:

- → Observed or projected increase in aircraft movements or passenger volumes
- \rightarrow Who is currently using the airport and for what purposes
- \rightarrow Airline(s)'s views on possible hub development
- \rightarrow Airport's role in support of emergency services (medical, firefighting or police) in the region
- \rightarrow Benefits to the GN's Medical and Duty Travel system of hub development
- \rightarrow Airport capacity and potential for expansion of the current level of service
- → Community and regional support for a hub

- → Community ability to support a hub including staffing, logistics, and infrastructure
- → Private sector investments in infrastructure and facilities supporting hub operations
- → Employment opportunities associated with a hub
- \rightarrow Economic impact analysis
- \rightarrow Geographical advantages of the airport
- \rightarrow Airport access (e.g., roads)
- → Potential impact of a hub on current airport expenditures, revenue and operations
- → Hub investments must be assessed against other airport infrastructure needs and priorities
- \rightarrow Viability of a self-supporting hub model

The listed considerations provide a general guideline in determining potential Airport Hub candidates, which the Government of Nunavut will typically use to complete an in-depth review of a community's proposal for a Hub Airport. Generally, the proposal should demonstrate long-term viability and overall benefit to Nunavut.

8 20-YEAR CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PLAN

8.1 FUNDING THE CAPITAL NEEDS

Capital projects identified in this document can be funded through three (3) potential sources:

- **1** Government of Nunavut;
- 2 Government of Canada; and
- 3 Public-Private Partnerships.

These funding sources are described in the following sections.

8.1.1 GOVERNMENT OF NUNAVUT FUNDING

The Nunavut Airports portfolio is the responsibility of the Department of Economic Development and Transportation. Capital projects are approved by the territorial government through the annual Capital Estimates document or through Supplementary Appropriations if increases are required to the Department's level of funding. When funding from the Government of Canada (Section 9.1.2) or alternative sources cannot be secured, the GN will continue to be responsible for the capital projects of Nunavut Airports. However, Nunavut Airports is one of several departmental divisions, each with varied and competing priorities. Therefore, it is understood that challenges exist in funding Nunavut Airports capital projects without external assistance.

The Nunavut Airports Division does have \$3 million annually in predictable, discretionary capital funding. This was increased to \$4 million in recent years but is set to drop back to \$3 million beginning 2022-2023. These funds are used for mobile equipment purchases, facility rehabilitation (e.g., painting, flooring, fuel tank replacement), engineering studies, small capital projects ranging from airside ramp installations, demolition to minor aggregate stockpile acquisitions.

The concept of airports earning revenues from leasing, fees, etc. should be considered as these funds could be invested into the system to address capital needs. At present annual revenues are approximately \$1.3 million, and this money goes into the Government of Nunavut general revenues. It is possible that revenues could be increased significantly across the system without exceeding national norms and that if these funds were kept within the system this could be part of a solution to the capital funding deficit.

8.1.2 GOVERNMENT OF CANADA FUNDING

Federal funding sources for capital infrastructure projects were reviewed for their applicability to the Nunavut Airports portfolio. Four (4) potential funding sources were identified at the time of this report's preparation:

- 1 Airports Capital Assistance Program (Transport Canada);
- 2 National Trade Corridors Fund (Transport Canada);
- 3 Disaster Mitigation and Adaptation Fund (Infrastructure Canada); and
- 4 Climate Change Preparedness in the North Program (Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada).

8.1.2.1 AIRPORTS CAPITAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (ACAP)

The Airports Capital Assistance Program (ACAP) was introduced in 1995, concurrent with the National Airports Policy. ACAP provides funds to finance capital projects that will maintain and improve safety, which generally excludes projects such as expanding facilities and buying land. Transport Canada specifies three (3) eligibility criteria for airports to receive ACAP funding:

- 1 Airports cannot be owned or operated by the Government of Canada;
- 2 Airports must meet certification requirements; and

3 Airports must have year-round commercial passenger service with a minimum of 1,000 passengers per year, unless designated as a Remote Airport per the National Airports Policy.

Funds are provided at Transport Canada's discretion for projects on a priority basis. Projects that are eligible for ACAP funding are categorized into three (3) priority areas, as shown in Table 9.1.

| | PRIORITY 1 | PRIORITY 2 | PRIORITY 3 |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Description | Projects to rehabilitate airside facilities or buy equipment for aircraft rescue and firefighting | Projects to buy heavy mobile equipment | Projects to improve the safety of air terminals |
| Examples of Eligible Projects | → Runway, taxiway, and apron rehabilitation → Airfield lighting and visual aids → Aircraft rescue and firefighting equipment | → Snow blowers → Snow plows → Sweepers and spreaders | → Upgrading sprinkler systems → Removing asbestos → Creating barrier-free access |

Table 8.1 - ACAP Priority Areas

The proportion of the project costs that will be funded depends on the number of regularly scheduled commercial passengers per year. This variable funding structure for Priority 1 and 3 projects is shown in Table 9.2. Priority 2 projects are funded in the same manner as Priority 1 projects, however ACAP funding will be decreased if the equipment is not dedicated solely for airport use. Transport Canada will contribute at least 85% of total project costs for airports north of the 60th Parallel. Therefore, all facilities in the Nunavut Airports portfolio are eligible for at least 85% of project costs, subject to the other criteria noted above.

The Government of Nunavut holds a position in agreement with the 2015 *Canada Transportation Act* Review, Pathways: Connecting Canada's Transportation System to the World (also know as the *Emerson Report*) that Transport Canada should develop a Northern ACAP that would be more predictable and more responsive to northern realities (seasonality, sealift resupply, etc.).

The Emerson Report recommends, to facilitate improvements, an investment of \$50 million per year over ten years to address the most significant infrastructure gaps, either by augmenting the Airports Capital Assistance Program, or by creating a new "Northern Airports Capital Assistance Program."

The need for a program such as a Northern ACAP was echoed in the spring 2017 Report of the Auditor General of Canada to Parliament's chapter titled Civil Aviation Infrastructure in the North.

| YEAR-ROUND REGULARLY SCHEDULED COMMERCIAL PASSENGERS | % FUNDS ALLOCATION |
|---|-----------------------|
| 1,000 - 49,999 | 100% |
| 50,000 - 74,999 | 95% |
| 75,000 - 99,999 | 90% |
| 100,000 - 124,999 | 85% |
| 125,000 - 149,999 | 80% |
| Note: For airports north of the 60 th Parallel, the minimum contribution is 85 | % |

Table 8.2 - ACAP Proportional Funding Structure

ACAP funding is distributed nationally, creating a situation in which a high number of project requests across the country compete for limited annual funding. The GN has been moderately successful in obtaining

ACAP funding in recent years, primarily for airside rehabilitation and airfield lighting projects. Recent ACAP funding awards include:

- \rightarrow Airfield lighting at Baker Lake Airport (2012);
- \rightarrow Airfield lighting at Chesterfield Inlet Airport (2012);
- → Rehabilitation of airside surfaces at Clyde River Airport (2018); and
- → Rehabilitation of airside surfaces and airfield lighting at Sanirajak Airport (2019).

8.1.2.2 NATIONAL TRADE CORRIDORS FUND

The National Trade Corridors Fund (NTCF) allocates \$2 billion over 11 years (ending March 2028) as part of the \$180 billion Investing in Canada Plan. The NTCF is a merit-based program that focuses on investing in the critical assets that support economic activity and the movement of goods and people in Canada. Territorial governments, including the GN, are invited to submit proposals to Transport Canada. The NTCF focuses on projects that:

- → Improve the movement of goods and people in Canada;
- → Improve international trade;
- \rightarrow Help the transportation system withstand the effects of climate change; and
- \rightarrow Help the transportation system adapt to new technologies and innovation.

The GN received funding in May 2018 for the replacement of the Kugluktuk, Naujaat, Kimmirut, Whale Cove and Chesterfield Inlet Air Terminal Buildings through the NTCF with construction scheduled to begin in 2021. However, a funding application for the relocation of the Pangnirtung and Kimmirut Airports was rejected.

The GN also received funding for the Rankin Inlet Air Terminal Building Expansion. This project is now in the initial phases and will run through 2024/2025.

The Continuous Call is open at the time of this report's preparation and will continue to be open until funds are depleted. The Continuous Call focuses on projects that improve the performance of the transportation system as it relates to exporting Canadian goods internationally, improve existing international trade flows, or generate new trade flows. Securing funding through the Continuous Call for the Nunavut Airports portfolio may be difficult, given the limited international trade flows that originate from each airport and the primary role of Iqaluit Airport in goods movement.

8.1.2.3 DISASTER MITIGATION AND ADAPTATION FUND

The Disaster Mitigation and Adaptation Fund (DMAF) is a grant program aimed at increasing resilience to natural hazards and extreme weather events, including the construction of new infrastructure and modifying or reinforcing existing infrastructure. The 2017 federal budget allocated \$2 billion until March 2028 for projects across Canada. The GN is an eligible recipient, with federal cost sharing capped at 75% for territorial projects. Projects have a minimum threshold of \$20 million in total eligible costs, must address at least one natural hazard, and must meet at least one national significance criteria. Given the essential services that airports in Nunavut provide for their respective communities, airport projects could qualify as critical infrastructure and be deemed nationally significant. The federal government will also offer climate change related programs from time to time which is separate from DMAF.

Examples of other airport projects that have made use of the DMAF include the construction of a new Air Terminal Building in Thompson, Manitoba to address foundation degradation resulting from permafrost thaw, and the widening of the runway and taxiway embankments in Inuvik to limit permafrost degradation.

8.1.3 PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS

Public-Private Partnerships are arrangements between a government and one or more private companies for the provision of infrastructure. The respective governmental body defines its needs and the project, while the private sector is generally responsible for the implementation of the project. Properly executed Public-Private Partnerships can have several benefits for governmental bodies, including access to the project-specific expertise of the private sector and shared or delegated risk between the two parties. The intent is that value will be realized by relying on the respective expertise of the government and private-sector parties. The value and usefulness of Public-Private Partnerships may vary depending on the given capital project, and thorough analysis should precede the decision to enter into such an agreement.

The Iqaluit Airport Improvement Project is a recent example of a Public-Private Partnership in Nunavut. The private-sector entity, Arctic Infrastructure Partners, was responsible for the development of a new Air Terminal Building and other airfield improvements, such as an apron expansion and runway upgrades. Arctic Infrastructure Partners is now responsible for the post-construction operations of Iqaluit Airport for a period of 30 years. The GN retains ownership of the airport throughout the duration of the agreement. The result is that significant improvements to key public infrastructure were made through the course of a single project. The GN was able to complete the works with limited annual investment over 30 years, during which the life cycle planning, maintenance costs, and resulting facility condition are predictable.

8.1.3.1 CANADA INFRASTRUCTURE BANK

The Canada Infrastructure Bank (CIB) was established in 2017 as a crown corporation that operates at arms-length from the federal government. The CIB uses federal support to attract private-sector and institutional investment to new revenue-generating infrastructure projects and is mandated to invest up to \$35 billion. The CIB works with partner governments to efficiently provide infrastructure, with delivery models including co-investments, Public-Private Partnerships, and traditional investment.

Projects must satisfy public interest requirements and should fall within one of three priority areas: airports could qualify as trade and transportation projects. CIB investments are not based on a regional allocation system, and each project is evaluated on its own merits for its investment potential. Therefore, the CIB could be a resource to the GN in securing funding for airport capital projects under the Public-Private Partnership delivery model.

8.2 20-YEAR CAPITAL NEEDS ASSESSMENT

The 20-Year Capital Needs Assessment has been developed with input provided from Nunavut Airports' regional Transportation Programs Managers. Appendix A details the needs of each airport and the predicted expenditures for capital projects over the next 20-year period, from 2020 to 2040. The projects range in scope from minor rehabilitation of existing facilities to complete airport relocations.

Approximately 370 needs have been identified over the 20-year period. It should be noted that many airports have surplus equipment, or equipment in addition to the minimum requirements noted in Section 3.2. The replacement of surplus equipment is not included in the forecast.

The total capital cost of the 20-year program is \$744 million or approximately \$37.2 million per year. This translates into an average of 18 capital projects per year. These figures include the costs of three major projects: the relocation of Kimmirut Airport, the relocation of Pangnirtung Airport, and the rehabilitation of the Rankin Inlet Air Terminal Building including public airport access and parking lot works. Without these three projects, the total capital cost would be reduced from \$744 million to \$416 million, or \$21 million per year.

8.3 UNDER-FUNDED LIABILITY

The annual capital budget for Nunavut Airports has been in the range of \$3.7 million to \$10.4 million (excluding lqaluit Airport) for the period of 2011 to 2018. The capital budget for 2019 is set at \$6 million which is below the annual average of \$7 million from 2011 to 2018. With a historic average annual capital budget of \$7 million and excluding new major projects, the GN budget is underfunded by approximately \$30.2 million versus the average annual requirement of \$37.2 million per year for 2020-2040.

In reviewing the input from the Nunavut Airports Regional Managers, it was found that certain airports have not had any capital work undertaken since the completion of the 2014 Capital Needs Assessment. Therefore, the forecast shows a very large increase in recommended spending in the early years to reduce the backlog and return airport infrastructure to a cost-efficient life cycle.

9 IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE

This section discusses the potential impacts of climate change on the Nunavut Airports system, including but not limited to:

- \rightarrow The impacts of thawing permafrost on runways, taxiways, aprons and airport buildings; and
- → Changing weather patterns, resulting in reduced ceilings and / or visibility, and increased need to improve visual aids.

9.1 OVERVIEW OF CLIMATE CHANGE

9.1.1 CLIMATE PROJECTIONS FOR THE ARCTIC

There is overwhelming evidence that the Earth's atmosphere and oceans are warming since the beginning of the Industrial Era, and that this warming is primarily due to human activity, particularly the burning of fossil fuels that produce greenhouse gases.

Examining historical trends is helpful for understanding the changing climate, but this is insufficient to accurately predict the future climate. To more accurately predict the climate near the end of the 21st century, climate specialists use climate models that are designed to reflect changes in the climate as global greenhouse gas emissions change. The 2016 Paris Climate Agreement established three emission scenarios, each of which is called a Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP):

- RCP 2.6: Reflects success in reducing global carbon emissions, stabilizing temperature increases in the 2°C range.
- RCP 4.5: Modest success is achieved in controlling emissions, but the concentration of greenhouse gases has accumulated to a high level, resulting in continued warming beyond the current targets.
- RCP 8.5: Little or no progress in controlling emissions is made; under this scenario, global temperatures continue to rise unabated.

Figure 9.1 is an example of modelled projections of mean annual temperature at Cambridge Bay. In the RCP 8.5 scenario, the mean annual temperature rises from approximately -14°C to -3°C by the end of this century.



Figure 9.1 - Model Projections Mean Annual Temperature YCB

The Arctic is especially impacted by climate change, possibly at twice the extent compared to points further south. The clearest example of major climate change is displayed in Figure 9.2: the historical trend at Cambridge Bay is a 1°C rise in temperature every 23 years. If the rate of warming continues, that would result in a rise of almost 4°C in the mean temperature at Cambridge Bay by the year 2100.

Under an RCP 8.5 emissions scenario, the warming in the Arctic is very severe, with some climate models projecting an increase in mean annual temperatures by as much as 11°C.



Figure 9.2 - Mean Annual Temperature Cambridge Bay 1953-2017

Increases in mean annual temperatures of 4°C impact the Arctic in significant ways. The most pronounced impacts on the Arctic include the loss of sea ice cover for much of the year and the thawing of permafrost. Arctic experts are planning on much of the Northwest Passage becoming navigable for most of the year in one or two decades. The second most significant impact is the thawing of permafrost, jeopardizing the stability of road infrastructure and building foundations. Other impacts of climate change are significant, such as the increase in frost and icing of infrastructure due to the proximity to more open water. The increase in low-level moisture due to open water may also contribute to fog and low cloud formation.

Although sea levels are expected to rise throughout much of the world this century by one meter or more, due to isostatic (or post-glacial) rebound Nunavut is not expected to be adversely affected by sea level rise.

9.1.2 ARCTIC AMPLIFICATION

Arctic amplification refers to the faster rate of warming that characterizes Arctic regions relative to the rest of the world. While many factors contribute to this phenomenon, one key factor is the increased surface absorption of heat associated with reductions in snow and sea ice cover. Snow and ice are highly reflective, whereas the darker surfaces of open water and tundra absorb heat, causing increased warming. Studies show that the Canadian Arctic is warming at almost twice the rate of the rest of Canada; the increase in mean annual temperature between 1948 and 2016 was 1.3°C in Ontario and 2.3°C in the North.¹

¹ Canada's Changing Climate, Government of Canada, 2019. p. 128

The area of sea ice cover in the Arctic has continually declined for several decades, at a rate of between 5% and 20% per decade depending on the region. In addition to the extent of sea ice decreasing, the perennial sea ice in the Canadian Arctic is being replaced by thinner seasonal sea ice. Most Canadian Arctic marine regions could be free of sea ice for at least one summer month per year by 2050. As the extent of sea ice declines, the increase in open water accelerates Arctic warming through the absorption of heat from solar radiation

9.1.3 THE THAWING OF PERMAFROST

All airports operated by the Government of Nunavut are impacted by permafrost. The different types of permafrost are shown in the map displayed in Figure 9.3. The Nunavut Airports system is located within the Continuous Permafrost region. Permafrost is defined by the International Permafrost Association as land that remains at or below 0°C for two consecutive years. Continuous permafrost is defined as land that is at least 90% covered by permafrost.² The composition of permafrost varies. It is a mixture of soil, ice, air, unfrozen water, and organic content, depending on how it formed originally.

Permafrost lands are described as a two-layer system that during the summer comprises the thawed active layer overlying the frozen permafrost beneath. The characteristics of the active layer are very important to the stability of the land and its ability to support physical structures. The thickness of the active layer can vary from a few centimeters to several meters, depending on the climate. As the climate warms, the active layer tends to become thicker.



Figure 9.3 - Permafrost Regions in Canada

² Arctic Science, Vol. 3, 2017 National Research Council Research Press

Image Credit: Arctic Science, Vol. 3, 2017 published by NRC Research Press

As permafrost thaws, the active layer remains unfrozen for longer periods, and the active layer goes deeper. In addition, the boundaries of permafrost regions change, with sporadic permafrost becoming less frequent. Significant volumes of ice can thaw, leading the structure of the active layer to collapse. When building roads or other structures on permafrost, from a stability perspective, engineers must insulate the permafrost to keep the active layer more stable.

Under certain models and scenarios, communities such as Arviat, Whale Cove, Baker Lake, Rankin Inlet and Kugluktuk could find themselves within the discontinuous or even sporadic permafrost regions, a development which can be expected to have significant impacts on existing infrastructure.

9.2 VULNERABLE NUNAVUT AIRPORT INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPERATIONS

9.2.1 AIRPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

9.2.1.1 RUNWAYS, TAXIWAYS, APRONS, ACCESS ROADS

Permafrost thaw threatens prepared surfaces used for aircraft and vehicle movement and parking. Runways are particularly vulnerable as surface irregularities impact aircraft movement, take-offs, and landings. Cavities of ice are embedded in permafrost, and the melting of ice pockets can create a sudden and sharp change in the runway surface. If the runway is paved, it can result in cracks and gaps in the paved surface.

Of the 24 studied airports, 23 have gravel runways which makes the problem more easily remedied through regular grading, although even with gravel major permafrost thaw can complicate runway rehabilitation projects by requiring the production of additional quantities of aggregate.

Taxiways, aprons, and access roads are also prone to collapse and erosion due to thawing permafrost. Their vulnerability is somewhat less than runways due to the greater flexibility to manoeuvre at slower speeds across a section of a taxiway that has deteriorated.

Although access roads may not be part of the airport property, they serve to make the airport operational. An airport without a viable access road has limited use.

9.2.1.2 AIRPORT BUILDINGS, NAVIGATIONAL AIDS PLATFORMS

Most buildings in the Arctic are sited on a concrete pad or are supported by a grid of supports that sits on top of the permafrost layer. Often, the permafrost may not thaw seasonally if the building or structure shelters or insulates the frozen permafrost beneath it from warmer temperatures. However, if the warming persists for longer than normal, the frozen permafrost can thaw and the supporting pad may become unstable. All Nunavut Airports facilities have basic infrastructure such as an Air Terminal Building and maintenance building, while some also have aircraft hangars.

There are also navigation aids and weather instruments on steel towers or housed in small buildings throughout the airfield. Permafrost thaw is especially critical for these facilities in cases where navigation aids and equipment must be fixed in position to function correctly. Misalignment as a result of changing surface conditions may negatively impact their usability. Figure 9.4 illustrates the challenges of maintaining the approach lighting at Rankin Inlet.



Figure 9.4 - Runway 13 Approach Lighting Rankin Inlet Airport (2010)

9.2.1.3 ELECTRICAL AND COMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE

Most of airfield cabling and electrical and communications conductors is buried underground or is carried on poles throughout the airfield. The thawing of permafrost exposes buried cables to water and stresses from collapsing terrain. Towers and poles carrying power and communication lines may also become unstable. The increased frequency of freezing precipitation and hoar frost threatens suspended power and communication lines, although the degree and extent of the threat is location dependent.

9.2.2 AIRPORT OPERATIONS

In addition to the vulnerability of airport infrastructure, there is a significant risk that climate change will impact airport operations. First, increased open water due to sea ice degradation will add moisture to the lowest levels of the atmosphere. This could lead to increased fog and stratus clouds, reducing airport availability as a result of low ceilings and visibility. The extent to which lower ceilings and visibilities may occur is unclear, but if the impact is significant, improvements to electronic and visual navigation aids may be required.

Second, low-level moisture in below-freezing temperatures can also lead to increased icing of runways, communication and navigation structures, and suspended cabling. Anecdotal reports have identified that black ice on runways and taxiways is more common at certain times of the year, requiring increased antiicing treatment and a larger inventory of anti-icing supplies.

Third, a warmer climate may result in less snowfall and less snow-clearing efforts required. However, warmer temperatures may result in the more frequent occurrence of freezing precipitation, requiring greater efforts in removing the accumulation of ice, rather than snow. An analysis of annual rain and snow at Cambridge Bay for the period of 1953 - 2018 indicates that annual snowfall is decreasing at a rate of one less centimeter every nine years, while annual rainfall is increasing at a rate of one additional millimeter every three years.

The fourth impact is that the warming atmosphere has a greater capacity to hold moisture, meaning that greater rainfalls, or in the right conditions greater snowfalls, can occur. The pooling of water or slush may require additional operational attention to sweeping and drainage.

Fifth, most climate models project more frequent wind extremes as the climate changes. In an analysis of the last 66 years of data at Cambridge Bay, the frequency of peak winds over 80 km/h has declined. As climate warming is underway at Cambridge Bay, evidence of more frequent extreme winds should be apparent. Despite this trend, there is a risk of more extreme winds and crosswinds that could impact airport operations.

Finally, runways are oriented to prevailing wind directions. It is not clear if prevailing winds at a location may change due to a warming climate. This is an area requiring further study, as the impact of changing wind directions could increase the frequency of excessive crosswinds, affecting airport availability.

9.3 CLIMATE CHANGE SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

9.3.1 CLIMATE CHANGE SUMMARY

Climate change is well-documented on the global scale and is caused primarily by the release of greenhouse gases into the atmosphere through human activity. The extent of climate warming in the Arctic is accentuated by the lower reflectivity of open water and open tundra as sea ice and snow cover is reduced. As a result, annual mean temperatures are projected to rise in the Arctic between 4°C and 11°C by 2100. This trend will have significant impacts on the Nunavut Airports system.

9.3.2 CLIMATE CHANGE CONCLUSIONS

Climate change will likely impact the Nunavut Airports system in the following ways:

- → Continued warming of temperatures will result in extensive permafrost thaw, particularly at airports near the southern edge of the continuous permafrost region. Permafrost thaw will lead to instability in runways, taxiways, aprons, access roads, and foundations for buildings and supporting structures.
- → Extensive sea ice loss will result in more open water with increased moisture in the lower atmosphere. The additional low-level moisture will result in greater frequencies of lower ceilings and visibilities through stratus clouds and fog, and in increased occurrences of icing of runways, taxiways, aprons, access roads and equipment. Greater de-icing resources may be required.
- → The global rise in sea levels by as much as a meter by 2100 will be offset by rising land levels in the Arctic. As a result, sea level rise will not have significant impacts on Nunavut Airports.
- → Changing precipitation patterns will result in more rainfall and less snow for airports in Nunavut. As an example, approximately 10 cm less snow may be expected each winter, and approximately 35 mm of additional annual rainfall is projected at Cambridge Bay based on current trends. The greater frequency of freezing precipitation is expected, requiring additional attention and resources dedicated to de-icing.
- → Climate scientists project increasingly frequent extreme weather events, including wind, temperature and precipitation. The extent of weather extremes is difficult to predict for specific airports.
- → Historical prevailing winds may change with differing weather patterns and the seasonal variation in sea ice cover. Wind directions could vary from the present, resulting in more frequent crosswinds and decreased airport availability.
- → The air transportation sector may face increased pressure to reduce its carbon emissions, as it is responsible for a significant proportion of global greenhouse gas emissions. This could result in controls or extra costs incurred by or assigned to the air transportation sector. Arctic air carrier operations at present are largely exempt under the carbon tax.

Appendix A

Nunavut Airports Condition Assessments Rio

Table CA-1 Arctic Bay

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabiltated or Constructed | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Apron (8,100 m2) | 2011 | Good | Low | Stockpile owned by contractor. Needed for yearly maintenance |
| Taxiway (2,000 m2) | 2011 | Good | Low | Minor Overlay Runway |
| Runway (35,900 m2) | 2011 | Good | Low | Major Overlay Runway+Replenish Stockpile |
| | | | | Minor Overlay Runway |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | 2011 | Good | | Hamlet |
| Parking lot | 2011 | Good | | Maintain with O&M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| FEC | 2011 | Good | Low | Replace at end of life (25 years) |
| Airfield Lighting | 2011 | Good | Low | |
| | | | | |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | | Good | Low | Replacement required outside of 20 year timeline |
| Building Envelope | | | | ATB Security System |
| H & V | 2011 | | | |
| Finishes | 2011 | | | |
| Electrical | 2011 | | | |
| Maintenance Building | 2019 | New | | Equipment Shelter completed in November 2019. Equipment shed should have concrete floor, maintenance of systems very difficult with a gravel floor. It has implications in addtion to environmental concerns. |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2012 | | High | Ford F-150 |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2019 | | | Ford F-150 |
| Truck - Plow | 2020 | | | Western Star 4900 |
| Truck - Plow | 2000 | | | Freightliner FL80 |
| Motor Grader | 1999 | | Low | 160 H Caterpillar |
| Snow Blower - Mounted | 2015 | | | D50 Larue |
| Caterpillar Loader | 2005 | | Medium | 950G Caterpillar Loader |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2016 | | | WRT |
| Trailer | 1995 | | | TA20 Craig Trailer |
| | | | | |

Table CA-2 Arviat

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabiltated or Constructed | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (12,100 m2) | 2013 - Last known | | | Stockpile adequate currently at 4000 m3 |
| Runway (36,600m2) | overlay, done by | Fair | Low | Minor Overlay Runway |
| | Contractor for equipment and | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| | manpower | | | Major Overlay Runway + Replenish Stockpile |
| | | | | Minor Overlay Runway |
| | | | | Apron Expansion and including Lighting |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | | | | Hamlet |
| Parking lot | | | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| FEC | Unknown | Good | | Replace at end of life (25 years) |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 2010 | | | Airfield Lighting Replaced in 2010. |
| Approach Lighting | | | | |
| ODALS | 2010 | Good | | |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB (309 m2): | 1993 (1992) | Poor | Medium | ATB Renovation, fuel tank replacement, shoring project underway in mechanical room |
| Building Envelope | | | | Building requires internal and external painting |
| H & V | | | | |
| Finishes | | | | Advanced Security System at ATB and Airfield (Runway and Taxiways) |
| Electrical | | | | Back-Up Generator |
| Maintenance equipment shelter | | | High | New 4 Bay Garage Required, with concrete floor. |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2020 | | | Ford F-150 |
| Wheel Loader | 2009 | | medium | Case 821E |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2015 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Truck - Plow | 2013 | | | Freightliner 108SD |
| Blower | 2014 | | | |
| Motor Grader | 2014 | | | Cat 140M |
| | | | | |

Table CA-3 Baker Lake

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Apron (32,200 m2) | 2012 | | ĺ | Apron Expanded by 16,500 sq.m in 2012 |
| Taxiway (2,400 m2) | | N/A | High | Stockpile Required only 100m3 available |
| Runway (38,400 m2) | 2015 | Fair | 1 | Minor overlay runway |
| | | | Ì | Replenish Stockpile. Major Overlay |
| | | | | Minor overlay runway |
| | | | - | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | | N/A | | Hamlet |
| Parking lot | | N/A | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| FEC | 2013 | Good | | Replace at end of life (25 years) |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 2013 | | | Apron flood lighting recently upgraded to LED. Also some outdoor receptacles were added for vehicles. |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | | | | Fuel tank replacement required |
| Building Envelope | | | | Advanced Security System at ATB and Airfield |
| H & V | | | | Fuel Tank Issues |
| Finishes | | | | |
| Electrical | | | | |
| Maintenance Building | 1986 | | | Large 7 bay garage. Condition should be evaluated, currently not connected to standby power. No fire alarm. Upgrade lighting and add some plugs. |
| Legacy Abandoned Buildings/A | ssets | | | |
| Old Garage and FEC | | Poor | | Assessment Required to determine removal costs |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Pickup | 2012 | | | Ford F-150 (on order for 2021) |
| Truck - Dump/Plow T/A | 1991 | | | Ford LT8000 |
| Truck - Dump/Plow T/A | 2017 | | | Western Star |
| Wheel Loader | 2014 | | | Cat 950K |
| Motor Grader | 2017 | | Low | Cat 140 M |
| Motor Grader | 1981 | | | Champion 740A |
| Water Pump | 1986 | | Low | Wisconsin 40MGV Water Pump |
| Crawler Tractor | 1986 | | Low | Caterpillar D7G |
| Snowblower - Self-Propelled | 2007 | | | Larue 7460 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2003 | | Low | Pneumatic Tire Compaction Roller WRT PT13 |

Table CA-4 Cambridge Bay

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiwav/Apron (13.230 m2) | 2015 | Good | | Current Gravel stockpile is part of ongoing work |
| Runway (70,104 m2)] | 1988 | Poor | N/A | Runway ongoing for 2021, Taxiway and Apron last resurface 2015. Project completion 2015 |
| | | | Ì | Minor Overlay |
| | | | 1 | Replenish Stockpile and Major Overlay |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Ove |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | N/A | | | Road may be re-routed under current airport improvements project. |
| Parking lot | N/A | | | Access road from community to airport is being turned over to community for maintenance. |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| FEC | 1993 | Poor | | Runway lights are currently operating on 2 new CCRs. PAPI's operate on two old CCR's. Repairs required on N/S ODALS. Plans in place for interim repairs to some cabling to PAPIs. |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 2017/2018 | Good | | Airfield Lighting upgraded in 2017/2018. Ongoing issues. To be upgraded with rest of infrastructure. Current LEDs are obsolete. |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 2015/2016 | Good | | |
| H&V | | | | |
| Finishes Electrical | | | | Advanced Security System at ATB and Airfield |
| Baggage Carousel | N/A | | 1 | |
| Maintenance Building | 1959/1985 | Poor | | Maintenance garage upgrade required. Assessment underway. |
| Perimeter Security Fencing | | | | Perimeter Security Fencing (5km) |
| Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2015 | | | GMC Sierra 1500 (runway inspection vehicle) |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2009 | | | Ford F-150 |
| Truck - Pickup | 2009 | | | GMC Sierra |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 1994 | | | International Plow Truck |
| Truck - Dump/Plow T/A | 2011 | | | International Plow Truck |
| Water Truck | 1976 | | | Weststar |
| Wheel Loader | 2010 | | | 950H Caterpillar |
| Motor Grader | 2020 | | | |
| Motor Grader | 1994 | | | 720R |
| Dozer | 1973 | | | Caterpillar D7F |
| Ramp Hog | 2020 | | | Caterpillar 150-15AWD |
| Skid Steer | 2018 | | | Caterpillar 299 D2 Skid Steer |
| Snowblower | 1993 | | | |
| Snowblower - Mounted | 2017 | | | Tenco 202 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2015 | | | WRT |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2000 | | | WRT PT13 |
| | | | | |

Table CA-5 Chesterfield Inlet

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (6,450 m2) | 2017 | | 1 | Culvert required to address drainage issues |
| Runway (32,910 m2) | 2017 | Fair | Medium | Currently Stockpile 2000 m3. Minor Runway Overlay. Surfaces no longer have a proper crown or drainage, dust control problems are a yearly issue. |
| | | | | Stockpile + Major Runway Overlay |
| | | | | Minor Runway Overlay |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | | N/A | | Relocate Airport Access Road |
| Parking lot | | N/A | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| FEC | 2013 | Good | | Rehabillitated under ACAP in 2013 |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 2013 | Good | | Replace at end of life (25 years) |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 1984 | Poor | High | New Air Terminal Building Required: IN PROGRESS. |
| Building Envelope | | | | ATB Security System |
| H & V | | | | |
| Finishes | | | | |
| Electrical | | | | Currently no back-up/emergency power available |
| Maintenance Building | N/A | | | Equipment shed should have concrete floor, maintenance of systems very difficult with a gravel floor. It has workplave health and safety implications in addition to environmental concerns. |
| Legacy Abandoned Buildings/ | Assets | | | |
| Old Transport Canada Trailer | | Poor | | Assessment Required to determine removal costs |
| Old FEC | | Poor | | Assessment Required to determine removal costs |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2014 | | | Ford F150 |
| Loader | 2013 | | | Caterpillar 938K |
| Truck - Plow | 2020 | | | Western Star 4900XD |
| Snowblower | 2014 | | | Attachment Larue D50 |
| Motor Grader | 2009 | | | Volvo |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2001 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2014 | | | WRT |

Table CA-6 Clyde River

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Runway (32,010 m2) | 2020 | New | Low | Major rehabilitation project completed 2020. |
| Taxiway/Apron (8,280 m2) | | New | Low | Minor Overlay from Stockpile |
| | | | | Major Overlay and stockpile |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | | N/A | | Hamlet |
| Parking lot | | N/A | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| | | | | Airside Electrical cost includes items below |
| FEC | 1998 | unknown | | Replace at end of life (25 years) |
| Airfield Lighting | 1998 | | | Replace at end of life (25 years) |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | | | | 206 m2 - Minor Remodel required. |
| Building Envelope | | unkown | High | Investigate ATB condtion |
| | | | | Fuel Tank Replacement Required |
| Finishes | | | | Airside Accessibility Ramp Required |
| Electrical | | | | ATB Security System |
| Maintenance Building | 1978 | | | New Building or expansion required;existing has only 2 bays. |
| Access Road | 2004 | | | O & M |
| Legacy Abandoned Buildings/Asse | ets | | | |
| Old ATB | | Poor | | Onsite Assessment Required to determine removal costs |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2020 | | | Ford F150 |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2013 | | | Ford F150 |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 2016 | | | Western Star |
| Wheel Loader | 2020 | | | Caterpillar 938M |
| Motor Grader | 2000 | | | Caterpillar 140H |
| Snowblower | 2010 | Good | | Laure T60 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2003 | Good | | WRT PT13 |
| | | | | |

Table CA-7 Coral Harbour

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|---|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (9,800 m2) | | | High | Apron and Flood Lighting Upgrade: Doesn't meet TP312 requirements, Apron dimensions are not defined, poor draingage, and lack/broken flood lighting poles. |
| Runway (45,800 m2) | 2013 | Fair | Low | Minor Overlay - No Crown. Also recommended EK 35 dust control. Replenish granular stockpile Replenish Stockpile and Major Runwaay Overlay |
| | | | | Minor Overlay Required EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| Groundaida, Bayamanta | | | | Existing Stockpile is 2000 m3 |
| Access Road | | | | Hamlet |
| Parking lot | | | | Maintain with Q & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| | | | | Airside Electrical cost includes items below |
| FEC | 2006 | Good | Low | |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 2006 | Good | Low | |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 2007 | | | Airside Accessibility Ramp Required |
| | | | | ATB Security System |
| Building Envelope | | | | Replacement required outside of 20 year timeline |
| H & V | | | | |
| Finishes | | | | |
| Electrical | | | | |
| Maintenance Building | 2003 | | | None. Housed in hamlet |
| Old Garage | | | | Gravel floors requires minor repairs overall |
| Legacy Abandoned Buildings/Ass | ets | | | |
| Old Transport Canada Fire Hall and Garage | | Poor | | Onsite Assessment Required to determine removal costs |
| Old Storage Facility | | Poor | | Onsite Assessment Required to determine removal costs |
| Old FEC (Red Building) | | Poor | | Onsite Assessment Required to determine removal costs, can be rehabilitated to storage |
| Old Fuel Tanks | | Poor | | Onsite Assessment Required to determine removal costs |
| Drums of Tar | | Poor | | Onsite Assessment Required to determine removal costs |
| Old Equipment/Garbage | | Poor | | Onsite Assessment Required to determine removal costs |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2019 | | | Ford F150 |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 2001 | | | IHC 5600i 4x4 |
| Wheel Loader | 2020 | | | Caterpillar 950M |
| Wheel Loader | 2005 | | | Volvo L110E |
| Snowblower - mounted | 2017 | | | Larue D50 |
| Motor Grader | 2018 | | | Cat 140M2 |
| Dozer | 2010 | | | D6T Caterpillar |
| Snowblower - Self-Propelled | 2002 | | | Vohl DV904 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 1968 | | | Pneumatic WP67 |
| | | | | |

Table CA-8 Gjoa Haven

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (6,930 m2) | 1997 | Poor | High | Replenish Stockpile + Major Overlay |
| Runway (40,230 m2) | 1997 | Poor | High | Minor Overlay from Stockpile |
| | | | | Major Overlay + Stockpile |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | | | | Hamlet |
| Parking lot | | | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| FEC | 1999 | Good | High | Back-up generator for FEC - Has not happened |
| | | | | Airfield lighting repairs + PAPI replacement - has |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 1999 | Poor | High | not happened, ACAP funding should be applied for after Engineering Design Work done. |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 2009 | Poor | High | Air Terminal Building Repairs - Old Terminal still in poor condition |
| Building Envelope | | | | Cold inside of ATB |
| H & V | | | | Need to upgrade mechanincal and electrical systems |
| Finishes | | | | Airside Accessibility Ramp Required |
| Electrical | | Poor | High | ATB Security System |
| Maintenance Building | N/A | | High | New 4 Bay Parking Shelter required with concrete floor - has not taken place yet |
| Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2017 | | | Ford F-150 |
| Snowblower - Mounted | 2013 | | | Laure D60 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 1975 | | | WRT |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 1998 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Truck - Plow | 2007 | | | IHC 56001 |
| Packer - Vibratory | 1993 | | | Bomag |
| Loader | 2012 | | | 938K Caterpillar |
| Grader | 2020 | | | Caterpillar 150-15AWD |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Table CA-9 Grise Fiord

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| | | | | Approximately 6000m3 stockpile is on site. Runway remains in very poor condition |
| Taxiway/Apron (2,700 m2) | 1992 | Poor | High | major overlay from stockpile + runway repairs |
| Runway (13,662 m2) | 1992 | Poor | High | Minor Overlay and New Stockpile |
| | | | | Major Overlay and New Stockpile |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | | | | hamlet |
| Parking lot | | | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| | | | High | Airside Electrical cost includes items below |
| FEC | 1983 | Very Poor | High | Requires replacement |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 1983 | Very Poor | High | Requires replacement |
| | | | | Requires replacement |
| Threshold lighting | 1970 | Very Poor | High | Engineering design work has been completed, RFQ should go out to determine costs. Project should coincide with Resolute Bay. Some interim minor repairs will be done summer 2021. |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 2018 | Poor | High | ATB windows replaced in 2016/2017, ATB interior was repainted in 2018 and the exterior painted in 2019. ATB is approximately 146 sq. m. |
| | | | | Fuel Tank Replacement |
| H & V | | | | Replace ATB, planning required |
| Finishes | | | | Airside Accessibility Ramp Required |
| Electrical | | | | ATB Security System |
| Maintenance Building | N/A | | High | New 3 Bay Parking Shelter Required including concrete floor. |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2018 | | | GMC Silverado |
| Loader | 2015 | | | Cat 938K |
| Motor Grader | 1997 | | | Champion 710A |
| Screen Plant | 2010 | | | Vibroscreen SCM-40 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2003 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Snow blow attachement | 2017 | | | Tenco 202 |
| | | | | |

Table CA-10 Igloolik

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (7,560 m2) | 1997 | Poor | High | Major Overlay and Replenish Stockpile |
| Runway (34,740 m2) | 1997 | Poor | High | Minor Overlay |
| | | | | Major Overlay and Replenish Stockpile |
| | | | | No stockpile currently available |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | | | | Hamlet |
| Parking lot | | | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| | | | | Airside Electrical Costs includes items below |
| FEC | 2003 | | | Should have engineered drawings done to apply for ACAP to proceed in 2028 |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 2003 | | | |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | | | | |
| Building Envelope | | Poor | High | Rehab ATB |
| Finishes | | | | Airside Accessibility Ramp Required |
| Electrical | | | | ATB Security System |
| Maintenance Building | 2018 | New | Low | Equipment shelter completed in Nov 2018 |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2011 | | | GMC Silverado (Runway Vehicle on order 2021) |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 1998 | | Fair | Ford L8513 |
| Snowblower - Loader mount | 2016 | | | D50 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2003 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Packer - Vibratory | 1992 | | | Bomag BW6 |
| Plow - One Way | 1998 | | | Tenco TC95 |
| Motor Grader | 2021 | | | New addition to inventory |
| Loader | 2014 | | | Cat 938K |
| | | | | |

Table CA-11 Kimmirut

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| New Airport | | | | |
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (1,350 m2) | 2012 | N/A | High | Apron Rehabilitated commenced in 2012 |
| Runway (13,317 m2) | 2012 | Good | | Runway Rehabilitation commenced in 2012 |
| | | | | Stockpile and Major Overlay |
| | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | |
| • · · · · • | | | | |
| Groundside Pavements | | | 1 | |
| Access Road | | | | |
| Parking lot | | | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | 4070 | 6 | | |
| FEC | 1976 | Poor | High | Decomission when new airport constructed |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 1976 | | | Lighting Upgrading to be done 2021 w/ARCAL |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 1976 | Poor | High | Construct Temporary ATB with Back-Up Generator |
| Building Envelope | | | | ATB Security System |
| Finishes | | | | |
| Electrical | | | | |
| Maintenance Building | 1976 | | | |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2018 | | | Chevrolet Silverado 1500 |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 1992 | | | Ford LS9000 (on order) |
| Motor Grader | 2003 | | | Volvo G720B |
| Wheeled Loader | 2011 | | | Caterpillar 938H |
| Snow Blower - Mounted | 2012 | | | Laure Snowblower |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2010 | | | WRT |
| Snow Bucket | 2011 | | | 5 YRD |
| Snow Bucket | 2011 | | | 3.45 YRD |
| Forks | 2011 | | | |
| Dozer Plow | 2011 | | | |
| | | | | |

Table CA-12 Kinngait

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (7,470 m2) | 2015 | | | |
| Runway (36,570 m2) | 2015 | Poor | High | |
| | | | | New Stock Pile and Minor Runway Overlay Required |
| | | | | Replenish Stockpile + major Overlay Runway |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway O |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | N/A | | | Hamlet |
| Parking lot | N/A | | | Traffic Circulation issues with current layout. Adjust with O&M funds. Parking Lot needs expansion by 2022. |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| | | | | Airside Electrical cost includes items below |
| FEC | 1996 | | 1 | Estimated 4 year life remaining. |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 1996 | | | Estimated 4 year life remaining. |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| Building Envelope | | | | |
| ATB (316 m2): | 1995 | Poor | Medium | Refurbish ATB - Flooring/Painting |
| | | | | Fuel Tank Replacement Required |
| H & V | | | | Refurbish ATB - Major |
| Finishes | | | | ATB Security System |
| Maintenance Building | 1975 | Average | High | Extension by 2 bays required |
| Legacy Abandoned Buildings/ | Assets | | | |
| Old ATB | | Poor | | Onsite Assessment Required to determine removal costs |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2017 | | | Ford F150 (Runway Inspection Vehicle on order) |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2012 | | | Ford F150 |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 2005 | | | IHC Dump/Plow Truck M5600 4x4 |
| Loader mount snow blower | 2016 | | | Tenco 202 |
| Loader Attachment | 2018 | | | Ramp Hog |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2000 | | | |
| Wheel Loader | 2016 | | | Caterpillar 983K Loader |
| | | | | |

Table CA-13 Kugaaruk

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Conditio n 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (7,585 m2) | 1995 | | | Current stockpile 2,000 cu.m |
| Runway (45,720 m2) | 1995 | Poor | High | Minor Overlay from Stockpile |
| | | | | Major Overlay + Replensih Stockpile |
| | | | | Minor Overlay from Stockpile |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| | | | | No work has happened at this airport since last update. |
| | | | | 300 cu m of Gravel a year being used for maintenance. |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | | | | Hamlet |
| Parking lot | | | | Mainatain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | ACAP |
| | | | | Airsdie Electrical cost includes items below |
| FEC | 1997 | Good | | Replace FEC + back-up generator |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 1997 | | | Replace Runway Edge Lighting |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 1976 | Poor | | ATB upgrade required |
| Building Envelope | | | | ATB Security System |
| Finishes | | Poor | High | Airside Accessibility Ramp Required |
| Electrical | | | | Major mechanical and electrical upgrades required |
| Maintenance Building | N/A | | High | New 3 Bay Parking Shelter - still required |
| Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway | 2019 | | | Ford F150 Crew Cab |
| Truck - Plow | 1983 | | | International Plow |
| Loader | 2013 | | | Truck Caterpillar |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2016 | | | 938K |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2003 | | | |
| Motor Grader | 2015 | | | IPT13 |
| Show blower wounted | 2017 | | | |

Table CA-14 Kugluktuk

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (33,490 m2) | 1995 | | | |
| Runway (50,280 m2) | 1995 | N/A | High | ACAP project lined up, Major Overlay and Replenish Stockpile |
| | | | | Minor Overlay |
| | | | | Major Overlay + Replenish Stockpile |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | | | | Hamlet |
| Parking lot | | Average | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | ACAP |
| | | | | Airside Electrical costs incudes items below |
| FEC | 1997 | poor | | Repalce FEC + backup generator |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 1997 | | | Replace Runway Edge Lighting |
| NDB | | | | |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | | Poor | High | New Air Terminal Building with Back-Up Generator |
| H & V | | | | ATB Security System |
| Finishes | | | | |
| Electrical | | | | |
| Maintenance Building | N/A | | | New 3 Bay Parking Shelter required - has not happened |
| Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2016 | | | Ford F1-50 (ED&T) |
| Truck - Plow | 2007 | | | IHC International Plow Truck |
| Snowblower - mounted | 2016 | | | D50 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 1999 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Motor Grader | 2017 | | | Cat 140M |
| Loader | 2014 | | | Cat 938K |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Table CA-15 Naujaat

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (6,075 m2) | 2013 | | | Stockpile good for 10 years |
| Runway (31,080 m2) | 2013 | Good | Low | Minor Overlay |
| | | Good | | Major Overlay + Replenish Stockpile |
| | | | | Minor Overlay |
| | | | | No update provided, same input from 2014 |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | N/A | | | None |
| Parking lot | N/A | | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | ACAP |
| | | | | Airside electrical costs includes items below |
| FEC | 1997 | | | Replace FEC |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 1997 | | | Replace upgrade the PAPI, runway edge lights LED |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | | | | New ATB required including backup generator |
| Building Envelope | | Poor | High | ATB Securitiy System |
| H & V | | | | |
| Finishes | | | | |
| Electrical | | | | |
| Maintenance Building | 2014 | | | 3 Bay Garage |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway | 2014 | | | Ford F-150 |
| Truck - Plow | 2009 | | | Sterling L9500 |
| Snowblower - attachment | 2018 | | | Larue D50 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2010 | | | WRT |
| Motor Grader | 2016 | | | Caterpillar 140M2 |
| Loader | 2015 | | | Caterpillar 983K Loader |
| | | | | |

Table CA-16 Pangnirtung

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| New Airport | | | | |
| | | | | Airport Relocation Study Update |
| | | | High | New Airport Infrastructure & Road (unit costs increased from 2003 price to reflect increases in grannualr material). Also increase due to annual |
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (4,805 m2) | 2013 | Average | | Runway recently resurfaced. |
| | | | | Runway surface still requires further work |
| | | | | Minor overlay |
| Runway (26,520 m2) | 2013 | Average | | Granular Required |
| | | | | Requires Ditching and few larger culverts |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | N/A | | | None |
| Parking lot | N/A | | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| FEC | 1997 | Good | Low | Adequate until new airport is constructed |
| Runway Edge Lighting | | | | Estimated 8 years of life remaining |
| | | | | |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 1994 | Good | Medium | Minor rehabilitation required |
| Building Envelope | | | | Minor Rehab required - flooring/paint |
| H & V | | | | ATB Security System |
| Finishes | | | | |
| Electrical | | | | |
| Maintenance Building | N/A | | | None. Housed in Hamlet |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2018 | | | Chevrolet Silverado 1500 |
| Loader | 2012 | | | 938K |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 2008 | | | L9500 Sterling |
| Snowblower | 2019 | | | Laure D50 Loader Mount |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2018 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Compactor | 1997 | | | Dynopac Vibratory compactor |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Table CA-17 Pond Inlet

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (8,625 m2) | 1990 | Average | High | Major Overlay Required and Stockpile |
| | | | | Minor Overlay |
| | | | | Major Overlay and Replenish Stockpile |
| Runway (36,570 m2) | 2018 | Average | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| | | | | Runway conditions have been improving due to annual maintenance, but stockpile replenishment is required. |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | N/A | | | Hamlet |
| Parking lot | N/A | | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | ACAP |
| | | | | FEC + Edge light replacement costs only |
| FEC | 1998 | | Low | Replace at end of life |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 1998 | | Low | Replace at end of life |
| ODALS | | | | Required (per Richard Mackenzie) - both ends runway |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 2007 | Good | Low | Replacement required outside 20 year timeline, minor rehab needed |
| Building Envelope | | | | Airside Accessibility Ramp Required, parts on site but design is to be determined |
| H & V | | | | ATB Security System |
| Finishes | | | | |
| Electrical | | | | |
| Maintenance Building | N/A | | High | New 3 Bay Parking Shelter required, with concrete floor. |
| Legacy Abandoned Buildings/A | ssets | | | |
| 2 Small Sheds | | Poor | | Assessment Required to determine removal costs |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2013 | | | Ford F250 (on order) |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2003 | | | Ford F150 |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 2011 | | | International 5600 |
| Snowblower - Mounted | 2014 | | | D50 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2010 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Loader | 2013 | | | 938K Caterpillar |
| Motor Grader | 2018 | | | Caterpillar 140M2 |
Table CA-18 Qikiqtarjuaq

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (5,137 m2) | 2000 | | High | Apron juts into ocean; rapid deterioration. Emergency work done in 2013 to address runway flooding. Culverts on site to be installed. |
| Runway (31,770 m2) | 2000 | N/A | High | Stockpile good for 1 more major overlay |
| | | | | Minor Overlay |
| | | | | Major Overlay + Replenish Stockpile |
| | | | | Minor Overlay |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | N/A | | | Hamlet |
| Parking lot | N/A | | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | ACAP |
| | | | | Airside Electrical costs include items below |
| FEC | 1997 | Poor | High | Replace FEC (relocate away from shoreline) |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 1997 | Poor | High | Airfield Lighting Upgrade |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 2013 | Poor | High | Replacement required outside 20 year timeline |
| Building Envelope | | | | Requires minor rehab: flooring and painting |
| H & V | | | | Airside Accessibility Ramp Required |
| Finishes | | | | ATB Security System |
| Electrical | | | | |
| Maintenance Building | 2004 | Good | | Hamlet garage used. Standalone 3 bay garage needed. |
| Legacy Abandoned Buildings/As | ssets | | | |
| Old ATB | | Poor | | Assessment required to determine removal costs |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2019 | | | Ford F-150 |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 2016 | | | Western Star 4900 |
| Motor Grader | 2002 | | | Volvo G730 VHP |
| Loader | 2018 | | | Caterpillar 983M |
| Snowblower | 2011 | | | T60 Laure |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2010 | | | WRT |
| Packer - Vibratory | 2014 | | | Dynapac CH47 |
| | | | | |

Table CA-19 Rankin Inlet

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|--|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Apron A (16,500 m2) | 2013 | Good | Low | Apron Expanded in 2013. Rehab in 15 years |
| Runway (70,104 m2) | 2009 | Fair | Low | Assess Rwy in 10 years. Assume rehab required in 15 years |
| Taxiway A (3,979) | 2013 | Good | Low | Rehab in 15 years |
| Taxiway B (5,290) | 2013 | Good | Low | Rehab in 15 years |
| Granular Stockpile/Crushing and Runway/Tawiway Shoulder Overlay | | | | Shoulders damaged during electrical upgrades in 2015, rocks penetrating surface and sink holes developed. Requires major overlay of shoulders. Tranverse Crack Repairs Runway |
| | | | | 100 m3 gravel stock pile currently available |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | N/A | Average | | Hamlet - Airport access included in ATB upgrades |
| Parking lot | 1999 | Good | | Parking Area requires expansion, including flood lighting is part of ATB expansion plan. |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| FEC | 2015 | Good | Low | FEC equipment upgraded in 2015 |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 2015 | Good | Low | Runway lighting upgraded in 2015 |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 1995 | Poor | High | Major Rehab/Extension - ATB Upgrade/Expansion, including upgrading public airport access and parking lot. Upgrade to Groundside flood lighting (Design Building). Federal and Territorial Funds committed but not yet expended. |
| Building Envelope | | | | Rehab and Expand ATB |
| H & V | | | | Advanced Security System at ATB and Airfield (Runway and Taxiways) |
| Finishes | | | | |
| Electrical | | | | |
| Maintenance Building | 1999 | Good | | |
| | 2020 | | | New 4 Bay Garage completed 2020 |
| | | Poor | | Renovation of SNIC 3 Bay Topshop (Design/Build): Requires major repair to become fully functional, currently used as cold storage only. |
| Perimeter Security Fencing | | | | Perimeter Security Fencing (8km) |

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway | 2016 | | | Ford F250 Heavy Duty Work Vehicle (on ord |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 2013 | | | Ford F250 Heavy Duty Work Vehicle |
| Plow/Dump | 2006 | | | Mauler PV350 |
| Truck- Plow | 2017 | | | PV400 |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 2001 | | | IHC |
| Wheel Loader | 1991 | | | Cat 950F (on order) |
| Wheel Loader | 2010 | | | Cat 950H |
| Motor Grader | 2013 | | | CAT 140M |
| Snowblower - Mounted | 2002 | | | Vohl DV4000 (attachment on order) |
| Ice Breaker Attachment | 2018 | | | Raiko Ice Breaker |
| Sweeper - Towed Behind | 2006 | | | Vohl Towed |
| Sweeper - Towed Behind | 2020 | | | MB 4618TTB |
| Skid Steer | 2015 | | | 299D |
| Snowblower - Mounted | 2013 | | | Tenco 202 |
| Generator Set | 1993 | | | Yamaha |
| Tar Kettle | 1994 | | | Craftco 100DC |
| Tar Kettle | 2010 | | | Craftco EZ100 |
| Joint Router | 1996 | | | Craftco 200 |
| Hopper/Spreader | 2002 | | | Batts T110C |
| Sweeper | 2017 | | | MB4600 |
| AMSCR/CRFI Dedicated Access Vehicle | | | | Note: to have a more accurate and consistent surface readings and to increasing the runway availability and decreasing flight cancelations. |
| Dozer | | | | Note: With the increase of surfaces "2015 Apron Expansion" increased need to push more snow at a further distance. Currently has no airport Dozer. |
| | | | | |

Table CA-20 Resolute Bay

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|--|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (56620 m2) | 2008 | | | Major Overlay and replenish stockpile |
| Runway (120,841 m2) | 2008 | N/A | High | Minor Overlay |
| New Taxilane C | | | | Major Overlay and replenish stockpile |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application |
| | | | | No condition changes from 2014 update. |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | N/A | | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Parking lot | N/A | | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | ACAP |
| FEC | 1998 | | | good for another 11 years |
| Runway Edge Lighting | | | | Airfield Lighting Upgrade |
| VASI | 1991 | | | Replace VASI with PAPI, need drawings |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 1998 | Good | Low | 648 sq. m in 1998 |
| Building Envelope | | | | |
| H & V | | | | Security System, ATB and Airfield |
| Finishes | | | | |
| Electrical | | | | |
| Maintenance Building | 1999 | Good | | |
| Old Fuel distribution System | N/A | | Medium | Site remediation required, need to remove pipes equipment and tanks |
| Perimeter Security Fencing | | | | Perimeter Security Fencing (8km) |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Administrative (pickup/suburban/other) | 1989 | | | GMC Suburban |
| Truck - Runway (Admin pickup) | 2016 | | | Ford F-150 |
| Truck - Runway (Admin pickup) | 2003 | | | Ford F-250 |
| Truck - Trades (pickup/van/other) x 2 | 2008 and 2012 | | | Ford F150 |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 1991 | | | IHC Paystar 5070 |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 2012 | | | Freightliner |
| Truck - Tractor | 1979 | | | GMC Brigadier J9500 |
| Truck - Dump | 1979 | | | Arnes Dump |
| Water Truck | 1983 | | | F6000 Med Duty |
| Wheel Loader | 2013 | | | Caterpillar 950K |
| Wheel Loader | 2006 | | | Caterpillar 950H |
| Motor Grader | 2019 | | | Caterpillar 140M3 Grader |
| Motor Grader | 1995 | | | Champion 740 |
| Snowblower - Self-Propelled | 2017 | | | Т85 |
| Snowblower - Self-Propelled | 1985 | | | Idaho Norland 2EC-52 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel x 2 | 1981 | | | |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2010 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Plow – Special x 2 | 1991 and 1996 | | | Frink R09M |
| Skid Steer | 2020 | | | Caterplillar 299D3XE |
| Welder | 1978 | | | Cannox BR300 |
| Forklift | 1973 | | | Hyster H80C |
| Screening Plant | 1990 | | | Coney |
| Special | 2008 | | | Wausau WRO 10 |
| | | | | |

Table CA-21 Sanikiluaq

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (8,880 m2) | 2013 | Average | Medium | Minor Overlay |
| | | | | |
| Runway (34,740 m2) | 2013 | Average | | Major Overlay and Gravel Stockpile |
| | | | | Minor overlay |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | N/A | | | None |
| Parking lot | N/A | | | Maintain with O & M funds: Needs to be expanded, currently to small |
| Airside Electrical | | | | ACAP |
| | | | | Airside Electrical costs includes items below |
| FEC | 1997 | Average | Medium | Replace FEC. |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 1997 | | | Replace Runway Edge Lighting |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | 206 sg m |
| Building Envelope | | Poor | High | Minor Rehab of ATB - Not done 2015. Front porch also required as strong south winds open doors |
| H & V | 2020 | New | Low | Airside Accessibility Ramp completed 2020 |
| Finishes | | | Ì | ATB Security System |
| Electrical | | | 1 | |
| Maintenance Building | 2010 | | | |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2019 | | | Ford F-150 |
| Truck - Dump/Plow T/A | 2013 | | | Freightliner 108 SD |
| Motor Grader | 2004 | | | Caterpillar 140H |
| Snowblower | 2019 | | | Larue D50 Mount |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2019 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2015 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Loader | 2016 | | | 938K Cat |
| | | | | |

Table CA-22 Sanirajak

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (30,733 m2) | 2002 | | | |
| Runway (70,104 m2) | 2002 | Poor | High | Stockpile and major runway overlay. |
| | | | | ACAP \$10M project underway to produce gravel, major overlay and replace electrical. |
| | | | Ì | Minor Overlay from Stockpile |
| | | | | Replenish Stockpile + Major Overlay |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runwa |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | | | | Hamlet |
| Parking lot | | | | maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | ACAP |
| | | | | Airside Electrical cost includes items below |
| FEC | 1982 | Poor | | New FEC + back-up generator included as part of \$10M ACAP underway 2020. |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 1982 | Poor | | Airfield lighting upgrade. Design Underway |
| | | | | Costs included in approved Capital Project |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB (401 m2): | 1982 | Poor | | ATB requires exterior rehabilitiation |
| Building Envelope | | | | Airside Accessibility Ramp Required |
| H & V | | Poor | High | Capital Project to Replace ATB |
| Electrical | | | | ATB Security System |
| Maintenance Building | 1982 | Average | High | Needs rehab (may be done with O & M) |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2018 | | | GMC Sierra |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2001 | | | GMC Silverado |
| Truck - Dump/Plow S/A | 2000 | | | Freightliner |
| Wheel Loader | 2014 | | | Cat 938K |
| Wheel Loader | 1995 | | | Case 721B |
| Motor Grader | 2006 | | | Volvo G720B |
| Snowblower - Mounted | 2013 | | | D50 Laure |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2019 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2001 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Skid Steer | 2021 | | | On Order |
| Plow - Angle/Dozer | 2010 | | | Caterpillar D6T |
| Water Tank - Trailered | 1986 | | | Westank |
| | | | | |

Table CA-23 Taloyoak

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (8,775 m2) | 2013 | | Low | Minor overlay from stockpile |
| Runway (33,000 m2) | 2013 | N/A | Low | Major overlay and new stockpile |
| | | | | Minor overlay from stockpile |
| | | | | good stockpile in condition 2,000 cu. m |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | N/A | | Ì | None |
| Parking lot | N/A | | 1 | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | |
| FEC | 2013 | Good | | Replace at end of life (25 years) |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 2013 | | | |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 1980 | New | | New terminal was completed in 2018 |
| Building Envelope | | | | ATB Security System |
| H & V | | | | |
| Finishes | | | | |
| Electrical | | | | |
| Maintenance Building | N/A | | | New 3 Bay Parking shelter w/ concrete floor - still required |
| Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2016 | | | Ford F150 |
| Grader | 2008 | | | Volvo |
| Wheel Loader | 2012 | | | 938K |
| Snowblower - mounted | 2020 | | | Larue D50 |
| Packer - Vibratory | 1997 | | | Bomag BW6 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 1980 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2001 | | | WRT PT13 |
| | | | | |

Table CA-24 Whale Cove

| Item/Facility | Last Rehabilitated or Constructed or Purchased | Current Condition 2020 | Priority or Status | General Comments |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Airside Pavements | | | | |
| Taxiway/Apron (6,678 m2) | N/A | Very Poor | High | New Stockpile and Major Overlay Runway (ACAP Proposal in planning) |
| Runway (36,570 m2) | N/A | Very Poor | High | Minor Overlay from stockpile |
| | | | | Replenish stockpile + major overlay |
| | | | | Airfield Electrical Upgrade Required |
| | | | | Currently Rocks penetrating surface, no crown, no shoulder, major over required. |
| | | | | EK-35 Full Application Minor and Major Runway Overlay |
| Groundside Pavements | | | | |
| Access Road | N/A | | | Full overlay (stockpile should cover) |
| Parking Shelter | N/A | | | Parking Shelter has a gravel floor and is an environmental hazard with the possibility of contamination |
| Parking lot | N/A | | | Maintain with O & M funds |
| Airside Electrical | | | | ACAP |
| | | | | Airside Electrical cost includes items below |
| FEC | 2000 | Good | | Replace FEC |
| Runway Edge Lighting | 2000 | | | Replace Runway Edge Lighting |
| Buildings & Other Facilities | | | | |
| ATB: | 2019-2020 | Very Poor | High | New ATB - In Progress with Back-Up Generator |
| Building Envelope | | | | ATB Security System |
| H&V | | | | |
| Finishes | | | | |
| Electrical | | | | Back-Up Generator - currently no back- up/emergency power available |
| Maintenance Building | 2013 | Good | | Parking Shelter needs concrete floor |
| Mobile Equipment | | | | |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2015 | | | GMC Sierra |
| Truck - Runway (pickup/other) | 2010 | | | GMC Sierra |
| Wheel Loader | 2006 | | | Cat 950H |
| Truck - Plow | 2019 | | | Western Star 4900 |
| Snowblower - Mounted | 2017 | | | Larue D50 |
| Packer - Wobbly Wheel | 2003 | | | WRT PT13 |
| Motor Grader | 2018 | | | Cat 140 |
| | | | | |